A	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	К	L	М	T N
				_	·			-	For/Against		_		
			Date	Comment					Proposed				
1	Name	Affliation	Received	Code	Summary Main Comments	Pg. #	Add'tional Comments	Categorey of Comment	Decision	Against	For	Unclear	
					· Very concerned about Oregon's environment and waterways but proposed decision doesn't make			General-need to improve					
2				1-A	sense.	1	1	water quality					
								General-made					
					· Oregon has met almost all of requirements and water quality/habitats have been improving for past 15			improvements in water					
3				1-B	yrs.	1	1	quality					
	(h) (0)				· Proposed decision would harm effective programs that support strong water quality/watershed			Penalities-negative					
4	(b) (6)	citizen	12/19/13	1-C	programs in state and jeopardizes \$4M in federal funding.	1	1	impacts	Against	1			
	-												
								Monitoring-improvements	:				
					· OR legislature is obstructing salmon recovery progress and prevents state agencies from monitoring			needed; Salmon-need					
5				2-A	WQ necessary to support CZARA NPS water quality achievement goals.	1	1	more protection					
	-				Need to include toxic contamination impairment assessment for NPScan't be done under current		Included two	more protestion					+
6					political climate.	1	1 attachments related to	Toxics/Pesticides					
<u> </u>	-				Disapproval will hopefully help improve situation in OR and break up political log-jam so toxics can be	_	toxic/metal	Decision-benefit;	-				+
7		citizen	12/20/13	2-B	addressed appropriately.	1	1 comtamination	Toxics/Pesticides	Unclear			1	i
8		CHIZCH	12/20/13	3-A	Concerned about 2007 overspray on his property and wants us to consider toxic effects.	1	1 Includes link to similar	Forestry-pesticides	Jilcieai			1	+
				J-M	Notes wildlife and fish just starting to come back. Recent testing of old domestic water supply still		story on overspray in	i oreati y-pesticides	1				+
9		citizen	12/20/13	3-B	shows residual effects.	1	Curry County.	Forestry-pesticides	Unclear			1	
9		Citizen	12/20/13	3-D	Very pleased when heard about proposed decision and pressure we're applying to Oregon to uphold its		Curry County.	rorestry-pesticides	Ulicleal			1	-
10				4-A	responsibilites.	4	1	Decision-benefit					
10	-			4-A 4-B	'	1	1		_				
11	-			4-B	· Glad fed regulators are recognizing harm logging is doing to water quality		<u> </u>	Forestry-general					
			10/00/10		· Oregon needs to prioritize clean water (even for smallest streams) and guard against human-made			Forestry-riparian;	_				
12		citizen	12/20/13	4-C	landslides.	1	I	landslides; pesticides	For			1	
						_		Penalities-negative					
13	-			5-A	· "Every dollar taken out of this program will decrease this program by that amount."	1		impacts	_				
							Comments are	General-made					
					· "Most coastal streams are running in their natural state and need no assistance. No farming and no		verbatum. No further	improvements to water					
14		citizen	12/21/13	5-B	more logging."	1	comments provided.	quality	Against	1			
15		citizen	12/21/13	6-A	· I concur with the State of Oregon (can provide details if asked).	1	1	General	Against	1			
16				7-A	· Has witnessed significant changes (improvements) in forest practices since 1960s	1	1	Forestry-general					
17				7-B	· Proposal to remove abandonned forest rds is foolishmany are stable.	1	Additional off-topic	Forestry-roads					
					· Watershed mngt on grand scheme is better approach due to limited funding to address problem and		comments related to						
18		citizen	12/22/13	7-C	establish priorities.	1	1 Spotted/Barred owl.	Forestry-general	Unclear			1	-
		citizen	12/22/13	8-A	· Recognizes there are water quality issues from ag, logging and other sources (kayaked amidst cow			General-need to improve					
19					patties in OR central coast) but state is making progress.	1	1	water quality					
				8-B				Penalities-negative					
20					Reducing funding for programs that will help OR tackle wq issues is not the answer.	1	1	impacts					
				8-C	· Agrees with Oregonian editorial that applying one-size-fits all approach doesn't work. A tailored]				
21			<u> </u>		approach is neededone that is underway but just needs more \$ to support.	_ 1	1	General-one-size-fits all	Against	1			
22				9-A	· Supports proposed decision.	1	1	Decision					
							7	Forestry-landslides,	7				
23				9-B	For too long, has been concerned about landslides, siltation, and clearcuts from forestry and	1	1	riparian, clear cuts					
24		citizen	12/25/13	9-C	· Glad fed. Govn't is taking action to w/hold funding.	1	1	Penalities	For			1	1
					· Oregan should be penalized. Citizens in Oregon do not have healthy, sustainable old-growth forests,			Penalities; General-need to					†
25				11-A	and non-polluted streams.	1	$_{1} $	improve water quality					
					, ,		1	1 111 111	1				
26				11-B	· There is no stormwater mngt for new development and aging/leaking septic systems aren't being fixed.	1	1	New devel; OSDS					
27		citizen	12/30/13	11-C	Need to stop runoff from past logging roads.	1	1	Forestry-roads	for			1	+
		citizen	1/5/14	10-A	NOAA/EPA is holding state to higher standard based on what we've approved for other states (e.g. CA).		(b) (6)	General-holding to higher	101				+
		0.0.2011	1, 3, 17	1571	Either need to approve OR or go back and disapprove other states. Keeping on raising threshold for OR is			standard					
28					unfair and costly for state.	1	1	Standard					
20				10-B	Holding states responsible for all CZARA requirements isn't rightsome, like OSDS, are outside state's			General-problems with	1				+
20				10-B	jurisdiction.	1	1	CZARA					
29				10.0	Too much focus on water quality improvements. Given population/development increase, even		-		-				+
20				10-C		4	1	General-water quality					
30				10.5	maintaining water quality levels at 1990 levels is a success.	1	<u> </u>	Company 11 11	1				
				10-D	• The CWA has demonstrated that its needed revisions over the years as evidenced by prior amendents			General-problems with					
31					and recommends now is another time to address problems with CZARA.	1	<u> </u>	CZARA			1		

Λ	T R	С	П	Е		G H	ī	1	K	1	М	N
— ~	U			10-E	· CWA recognizes there isn't a one-size-fits-all response to addressing NPS. As such, absurb to place	J 11	General-one-size-fits all	J	IX.		IVI	IN
32				10.5	arbitary and capricious temporal and jurisdictional standards on a state.	1	Jeneral One 3125-1163 all	Against	1			
33				12.4		1 Additional off tonic	Forestry close systing		1			
34	(b) (6)		1/7/14	12-A	· Anti-clear cutting (doesn't believe it can be done sustainably); pro sustainable forestry.	1 Additional off-topic	Forestry-clear cutting	Unclear				
		citizen	1/7/14	12-B	· Supports regular maintenace of septic systems.	1 comments related to	OSDS				1	
35		citizen	1/16/14	13-A	· Agrees with proposed decision to disapprove OR's program.	1	Decision					
				13-B								
							New Devel; OSDS; Forestry-					
					· Supportive of 3 key areas where Oregon hasn't met program requirements (forestryall elements,		riparian; landslides;					
36					OSDS, and new devel) and asks us to continue to work with OR to address those issues.	1	pesticides; roads					
				13-C		Flahive - does not						
						specifically comment on						
						the two ag related						
						questions asked of						
37					· Notes NPS impacts from Ag must also be addressed.	1 public commenters	Ag-General	For		1		
38	-		+	14-A	Disagrees with proposed decision	1	Decision			_		
30	-			177	Disagrees with proposed decision		General-made					
					Through experience on watershed access and provious position in LISES, holiouse state and OWER							
20				14.0	• Through experience on watershed assoc and previous position in USFS, believes state and OWEB,	1	improvements in water					
39				14-B	SWCDs, watershed groups are doing (and have done) a lot to improve wq		quality					
					· Loosing \$4M in federal funding that supports watershed work will be like "throwing the baby out with		Penalities-negative					
40	_			14-C	the bath water."	1	impacts					
					· ODF is working to strengthen forest rules for riparian protection but face political challenges that	Sumbitted Biannual						
					require thoughtful science to bring along. Maintaining support of forest industry is important for water	Report for Coquille	Forestry-riparian; General-					
41		citizen	1/31/14	14-D	quality protection and will take longer than Spring 2014.	2 Watershed Assoc.	need more time	Against	1			
42		Ī		15-A	· Agrees with proposed decision to disapprove OR's program.	1	Decision					
					Not clear why public comment is required on the NOAA-F and EPA's (Agencies) analysis as long as their							
					justification or statement of intent to approve or disapprove the program (Proposal) is based solely on							
					pre-established criteria and valid scientific grounds. Overall, I find this to be the case, and further that		General-public comment;					
43				15-B	the technical analysis in the Proposal is generally robust with respect to the issues it examines	1	General-support rationales					
				20 5	• There are no meaningful regulatory assurances in OR's CNP to protect water quality and designated		General-fails to meet					
44				15-C	uses.	1	wqs/uses					
44				13 C	Voluntary measures/promises won't work; clearly enforceable measures, regulatory linkages and		wq3/u3C3					
					management controls are needed. CZARA specifically requires coastal states to have enforceable		General-voluntary					
45				45.5			,					
45				15-D	controls on nonpoint sources of pollution in order to continue to receive federal grant funding.	1	approaches					
					· Salmon habitat and continued federal species listings show that the salmon resource(s) in Oregon have		General-salmon; General-					
46				15-E	been and continue to be declining	2	fails to meet wqs/uses					
					NOAA/EPA need to include in future rationales and consider when evaluting future state submissions:							
					interconnected habitat and water quality factors and legacy issues, beaver management, watershed and							
					riparian factors influencing water quality, novel human chemical contaminants, over-allocation of water,							
					urban runoff from older as well as newer developments, and little consideration given to the importance		General-need to consider					
47				15-F	of maintaining groundwater flow connection(s), and climate changes	2	other issues					
					Overall NOAA/EPA analyses are correct. There are several major areas of the coastal NPSPC program are							
					in need of significant improvement and/or additional management measures. Some of the areas							
					identified are: measures for forestry, new urban development, and septic/sewer systems (note: the							
					Agencies should broaden the latter to include measures to improve nonpoint source treatment and							
					control of stormwater, urban surface, and road related runoff; similarly the Agencies should include both		OSDS; New Devel;					
					new and older urban development and infrastructure)		Forestry; General-need to					
10				15-G	new and older dipart development and infrastructure)	2	consider other uses					
48	_			D-C1	ODA's near past and engaing efforts at resultation and and the start of the time.		consider other uses					
					· ODA's poor past and ongoing efforts at regulating agricultural and livestock practices that harm salmon							
					and other biota are not acknowledged in analyses. Missing (suggested additional) measures to							
					adequately protect water quality include: 1) minimum required riparian buffers on commercial							
					agricultural lands (Note: the published literature suggests a buffer width of no less 100 feet, or 30							
					meters. Buffers wider than 100' might be necessary on low gradient channels that might meander, and							
					adjacent to designated critical habitats for listed species, for example core salmonid spawning and							
					rearing areas); 2) fencing streams and riparian areas to reduce or eliminate trailing, trampling and fecal							
					contamination by livestock; 3) improved permitting, monitoring and relocation of CAFOs, and 4)							
1					regulatory provisions (with or without incentives) to promote reestablishment of riparian vegetation in							
					critical habitats and to promote beaver reintroduction in suitable locations. Also suggests that legacy							
49				15-H	impacts from ag are not addressed (expanding on 15-F)	5	Ag-add MMs					
1 3		1	ĺ	TO-LI	impacts from ag are not addressed (exhanding on 13-F)	ار	Ag-auu IVIIVIS			1	ĺ	

	^	D	<u> </u>		г		Ι ς	11	ī	1	V		N4	N
	А	В	C	D	E	j r	G	Н	General-need to consider	J	K	L	М	N
50					15-I	· Need to consider novel chemicals (Rx drugs, BC pills, pain medications and caffeine) impacting wq.	3	3	other uses					
30				-	131	Need to consider over allocation of water/withdraws and impacts less water has on increasing		<u></u>	General-need to consider					
51					15-J	pollutant loads, etc.	6		other uses					
31						Need to consider the role of beaver and beaver dams in moderating flows and improving water quality in	า		0.1101 0.000	-				
						the broadest sense(s) should be included and examined. Measures								
						should be included to cease or scale back beaver eradication efforts, and also to facilitate and promote			General-need to consider					
52					15-K	beaver re-establishment in suitable locations	4	Į.	other uses					
						FPA rules are outdated and need to be revised. In 1996 NMFS has stated key problems with rules and								
53					15-L	improvements. Most of these issues were affirmed by independent scientific panel.	5		Forestry-general					
								Flahive - added last	General-need to consider					
54		(b) (6)	citizen	2/20/14	15-M	· Need to consider climate change. Climate stressed organisms can be more sensitive to pollution.	6	sentence in 15-H.	other uses	For		1		
									General-voluntary					
55					16-A	· Watershed council does good work.	1		approaches					
						· Agree that there should be some sort of penality to motivate the state to comply with CZARA, but				ļ.				
						penalities, as structured, would hurt watershed councils and others on-the ground that are doing the			Penalities-negative					
56			Lower Nehalem		16-B	good work and need federal/state funding to continue.	1		impacts					
			Watershed			Need to taylor puntative impacts to only effect those that should be (not others such as watershed			·	Against				
57		(b) (6)	Council	2/26/14	16-D	councils)	2	2	Penalities	(penalities)			1	
									Decision; Penalities-	-				
58					17-A	· Strongly support disapproval to wake up OR govn't to reality of not protecting the environment.	1		benefits					
59						Septic tanks at OR state parks and other locations are discharging sewage to waterways.	1		OSDS					
60					17-B	· Towns of Myrtle Pt and Powers release sewage to Coquille when rains and can't harvest shellfish.	1		OSDS	Ī				
						Concerned about superfund contamination impacting shellfish harvest and that DEQ is not enforcing								
61					17-C	needed actions.	2	2	Toxics/Superfund					
									General-need to consider					
62			Clamdiggers	3/4/14 (w/	17-D	Should have invertebrate species plan in place.	2	2	other uses					
			Assoc. of	follow up		Funding Oregon CZMA should be contingent on having Invertebrate Species Plan in place for fresh and			General-need to consider	Ī				
63		(b) (6)	Oregon	on 3/6)	21-A	saltwaters	1		other uses	For		1		
						· Funding Oregon CZMA should be contingent on having Invertebrate Species Plan in place that includes								
						improved harvest regulations for shellfish, sewage spill hotline, shellfish monitoring, and ensures			General-need to consider					
64					18-A	contaminants are not raised above normal baseline levels.	2	2	other uses					
										Ī				
						· Concerned about sewage discharges and well as poor forestry pratices (discharge of bark dust/debris								
65					18-B	into bay) that have caused clam die-offs and made them unharvestable. Sites specific examples.	9		OSDS; Forestry-General					
								Includes many						
66					18-C	Also concerned that state/EPA do not properly warn people not to eat shellfish due to baterica/toxics.	9	examples of blogs posts	OSDS; Toxics					
			Clamdiggers					and letters they have						
		4.). (-)	Assoc. of			· Organization has tried to speak with ODFW and ODFW Commission leadership but claims offers to	throu	written to various state						
67		(b) (6)	Oregon	3/5/14	18-D	meet/hear their recommendations were not acted on.	ghout	agencies.	Forestry-General	For		1		
68					19-A	· Oyster farmer in Tillamook Bay	1							
						· Supports disapproval because OR doesn't have MMs or additional MMs in place to achieve/maintain								
69					19-B	WQS.	1		Decision					
								Flahive - 19-C edited to						
						· Cites specific examples of Tillamook Bay beging closed to shellfish harvest for 100 days/yr due to dairy		accurately reflect						
70					19-C	waste as ag runoff.	1	comment.	Ag-General					
								Flahive - 19-D edited to						
						There has never been meaningful oversight of Tillamook Dairy Mngt Industry. Voluntary compliance is		accurately reflect						
71		(b) (c)			19-D	not working, OR DEQ has not worked to manage the dairy farms waste management practices.	1	comment.	Ag-General					
72		(b) (6)	citizen	2/28/14	19-E	Despite many investments in studies from NEP, still a wq problem.	1		General-water quality	For		1		
73					20-A	OR streams are among the cleanest in nation and provide suitable water for aquaculture.	1		General-water quality					
74					20-B	Additional riparian setbacks would only hurt logging industry and drive up price of lumber	1		Forestry-riparian					
75					20-C	· Coos County has more forestry than any part of Oregon and more salmon.	1		Forestry-general					
									Forestry-General; General-					
		(b) (6)		,					made improvements to					
76		(b) (6)	citizen	1/8/14	20-D	Watershed councils are doing good work and we don't need additional regulation.	1		water quality	Against	1			
77					22-A	· Support disapprovalmay be only effective way to get action in state.	1		Decision-benefit					
						Oregon doesn't have practices in place to protect streams from polluted runoff. Although state still			General-fails to meet					
78					22-B	claimins programs are effective	1	-	wqs/uses					

А		В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	К		M	l N
			-			· Federal/state govn't have responsibiliy to manage waters in the public trust for max. long-term benefit			General-fails to meet	-				
79					22-C	for current/future generations. This is not being done.	1	1	wqs/uses					
	1								General-water quality;					
									General-fails to meet					
80					22-D	. TMDLs show that existing programs are not working (high water temps, sediment leads and nutrients)	1	1	wqs/uses					
					22-0	• TMDLs show that existing programs are not working (high water temps, sediment loads and nutrients).		L .	wqs/uses					
	(b) (6)			0/0/11	22.5	· Many states have stronger NPS controls for forest practices. OR is frequently judged as the weakest	_			_				
81	-		citizen	3/8/14		along the west coast. Its time for them to change.	2	2	Forestry-General	For		1		
82	-				23-A	- Supports proposed decision (on all counts)4 forestry concerns, osds and new devel.	2	2	Decision			1		
								Flahive - 23-B edited to						
								accurately reflect						
83	_		citizen	3/14/14	23-B	· Also necessary for state to include ag MM that are necessary for achieving and maintaining WQS.	2	comment.	Ag-add MMs	For				
84	_				24-A	· Supports disapproval decision.	1	1	Decision			1		
						· Commentor is fisherman that as witnessed OR's inability to protect fish-bearing streams from forestry			Forestry-general; Forestry-					
85					24-B	runoff (logging and rd building).	1	1	roads					
				Ī		- BOF/ODF have had proposals to improve stream protection come before than but to date, have failed								
86					24-C	to take action.	1	1	Forestry-riparian					
87	-		citizen	3/14/14	24-D	· DEQ has also failed to take action to respond to forestry issues too.	1	1	Forestry-General	For				
				-, .,			<u> </u>		Decision; General-fails to					
						Agrees OR has not met conditions and needs to do more to protect coastal wq but imposing penalities			meet wqs/uses; Penalities-					
88					25-A	on czm and 319 is wrong.	1	1	negative impacts				1	
00	-				25 A	- CZM doesn't have authority over remaining conditions yet they stand to loose 1/3 of their federal	-	_	negative impacts					•
									Develities resetive					
						funding. CZM program does a lot of good to support local communities. Local assist and other important	١.		Penalities-negative					
89	-				25-B	parts of program would be haulted.	1		impacts					
						· State legislature is one that needed to take action but has not; rather they have obstructed ODEQ's			General-need to improve					
90	_				25-C	ability to make the changes the agency wanted to.	1	1	water quality					
									Penalities-negative					
91					25-D	· CZM has done excellent work for past 40 yrs and shouldn't be undercut now.	1	1	impacts					
									Penalities-negative					
92					25-E	Encourage NOAA/EPA to continue to work with OR to improve CNP but should not impose penalities.	1	1	impacts					
						Penalties will be counterproductive because it will cripple the work of local governments and the OCMP			Penalities-negative	For (but no				
93			citizen	3/14/14	25-F	without achieving the measures that NOAA and EPA seek.	1	(b) (6)	impacts	penalities)				
	-								General-need to improve	•				
94					26-A	· Fisherman and no doubt that polluted runoff is an issue.	1	1	water quality			1		
95	-		citizen	3/14/14	26-B	- Supports Tom Davis' opions and supports disapproval decision.	1	1	Decision	For				
96	-		5.012511	5, = 1, = 1	27-A	· No one has authority for small lot foresters.	1	1	Forestry-General				1	
30	-			<u> </u>		The site has additively for situation is escaled.			Forestry-clear cuts;					
97					27-B	There is no program that monitors private forestland clear-cuts, or spray and burn operations	1	1	Forestry-pesticides					
37	-				27 0	Need preventive measures to assure that forestry operations near Clear Lake won't make water	-	1	Torestry pesticides					
						undrinkable (get drinking water from lake and has observed small-lot foresters airial and hand spraying								
			-i+i	2/10/11	27.0		,		Forestm, martinidas	Na activita				
98	-		citizen	3/18/14		pesticides/herbicides near lake.	1	1	Forestry-pesticides	No opinion				
99					28-A	· Supports disapproval	1	1	Decision					
									Forestry-riparian; Forestry-					
						· Very narrow or non-existent buffers along streams that flow into Siletz. Clear cut to banks and airial			clear cuts; Forestry-					
100					28-B	spraying over cuts.	1	1	pesticides					
						· Concerned about contamination of drinking water (Newport gets water from Siletz), fish and soil								
						contamination from spraying. Criminal that state does not provide better protectionsespecially as rate			Forestry-General; Forestry-					
101					28-C	of clear cutting/forestry activities increase due to increase in China exports.	1	1	clear cuts					
102					28-D	· No pesticide mngt measures are in use in ag. lands.	1	1	Ag-pesticides					
									General-voluntary					
103					28-E	· Oregon relies largely on voluntary actions for its CNP and is not using back-up authority.	1	1	approaches					
						Even when NOAA/EPA granted OR additional time to address conditions, OR waters are no better than			General-need to improve					
104						they were before.	1	1	water quality					
						OR hasn't done anything to address polluted runoff in coastal watersheds and shouldn't be given	†		General-need to improve					
105			citizen	3/18/14	28-G	approval until it does.			water quality	For		1		
103			CITIZCII	5, 10, 14	20 0	- EPA/NOAA have exceeded the limits defined in the US Constitution. There are too many regulations	 	-	water quality	101				
							.							
106			-iai	2/10/11		and restrictions on the states, private property, and individuals. Congress should remove the budgets for	.		Carrent	A i				
106	-	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	citizen	3/19/14		EPA/NOAA and have proceeds go back to state of orgin.	1	1	General	Against	1			
				1	30-A	· Supports diapproval	1	L	Decision					

Organizacione che con sugrama in la pode de central missago este contra proportion de la dificienta de la companya de la contra de la dificienta de la companya de la contra de la companya de la contra de la dificienta de la companya de la contra de la companya de la contra del contra de la contra del contra de la contra del contra de la contra del contra										1 ,, 1			
water of the factors of CASA management measures, row out of Super Notion to address of the control of the cont	A	В	C	D	E	F	G	H I	J	K	L	М	N
in the control of the													
The second process of the second seco													
20 1													
Observation for the Company and table of bring signing produces (with continuing appeared and the continuing and activing above, inclinating appeared and the continuing and activing above, inclinating and activing above, and activing above, inclinating and activity and acti								The state of the s					
well recolley analysis. John Continues in the Continues of the Continues of Private State of Continues of Con	108				30-B	Oregon's drinking water.	1	meet wqs/uses					
Security Security Security						· Disheartened that Oregon has failed to bring logging practices into compliance with federally approved							
Second						water quality standardsputs contaminants in our drinking water, directly affecting our personal and							
20 Section	109				30-C		2	Forestry-General					
10 20 20 20 20 20 20 20						,	2	,					
111 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1							-	,					
30 D. Command adverse impacts of frost ratios better, including specifically so called "Expoy" recis. 3 Farentry ratios	111				30-E		2						
10 Of must increase butters for the application of positions to be application of positions of the part increases and on the control of the part of th						~	2						
100 100	112				3U-F	OR must address impacts of forest roads better, including specifically so-called flegacy roads	3	Forestry-roads					
100 100													
DCC Interest to a collection of accordination and 2004 are recognized and													
30-1 vs. after conditional approach, has failed to make changes to the fire required. 3 General	113				30-G		3	Forestry-pesticides					
ODEN want NMMS agree many feel whoster environmental impacts on Original coasts cition are familiar in the national form of the cition of the state of case of the state of th						DEQ failed to adhere to its commitments that were foundation of 2010 settlement agreement and 16							
related, including "reading and spanning habitate loss, feet.	114				30-H	yrs after conditional approval, has failed to make changes that are required.	3	General					
related, including "reading and spanning habitate loss, feet.						· ODFW and NMFS agree many freshwater environmental impacts on Oregon coast coho are human							
http://www.wis.atta.co.us/info/specic-ymbo.maple. Ferro OD Fox found its Segaing practices violate with requirements of the strain of the stra								Salmon-need more					
weter quality standards (perc. 100 - 101													
135 Section													
Watersheds openinere landisides from failed loggang roads, sites A landisides in Arch Cape (damining 200	115				3∪⁻1		ادا	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
39 water waterheld in 2013.	113				3U-I		3	meet wqs/uses					
2.0 th buffers Die mandetes on denining water streams are too nerrow to wystand bloodowns and 30-4 complete lack of buffers on nois fish streams make sedimentation a constant impairment/risk. 4 Forestry-riparian 1.0 The deninsing water for our communities routine by how high bedress of hower acrinogens, characteristic of the denins of t	44.6				20.1		_						
30-K. provide much protection from anial spraying. 30-K. Complete lack to filters on anothis stream make sedimentation a constant impairment/risk. 4 Forestry-fiparian 5 C. Complete lack to filters on anothis stream make sedimentation a constant impairment/risk. 4 Forestry-fiparian 5 C. Complete lack to filters on anothis stream make sedimentation a constant impairment/risk. 4 Forestry-fiparian 5 C. Complete lack to filters on anothis stream make sedimentation a constant impairment/risk. 4 Forestry-fiparian 5 C. Complete lack to filter service make the filter of the constant impairment/risk. 5 C. Complete lack to filter service make the filter service of the constant impairment/risk. 5 C. Complete lack to filter service make the filter service of the constant impairment that enters public values from logging roads and inadequate riparian buffers reacts with distinfectants required to displants and inadequate riparian buffers reacts with distinfectants required to displants required to displants and inadequate riparian buffers reacts with distinfectants required to displants required t	116				30-J	,	3	Forestry-landslides					
138 39-L Complete lack of buffers on non-fish streams make selimentation a contrast impairment/risk. 4 1-the drinking water for our communities continely have bely levels or caused when excess sediment that enters public waters from edge give roads and inadequate rigarian buffers reach with or long give roads. There highly levels are caused when excess sediment that enters public waters from longing roads and inadequate rigarian buffers reach with disinfect ants required to treat the water. 109 30-M To meet federal drinking standards, both Anch Cape Water District and the City of Rodeways Beach had to install extent filter membranes at significant cost. New entire community faces higher water bills. 100 - CARA requires OR to demonstrate that it has sadditional MMs to meet water quality standards and protect designated uses (salarian, amplifiands, orinking water group in this Coll relies was for a protect designated uses (salarian), amplifiants, orinking water group in this Coll relies was formed to express the second of the contrast stream buffers to prostation use on streams with salmon but to encouraged than NOAA/TA faind that the state doesn't have good buffers on in-ord his breasing externs. Most drinking water flows bronging water flows bronging and water flows bronging and water flows bronging water flows bronging water flows bronging the ready for the contrast stream buffers to ready for the contrast water works. In oriental water flows bronging florest canopy over water. 126													
- The dinking water for our communities routinely have high levels of known carcinogens, trubalomethanes and haloacetic acids. These high levels are when excess sediment that enters public waters from logging roads and inadequate rigarian buffers reacts with disinfectants required to a public waters from logging roads and inadequate rigarian buffers reacts with disinfectants required to a public water from the common and the city of Rocksway Beach had to install other after membranes at significant cost. Now entire commonly faces higher water bills. 120 30 - No meet federal drinking standards, both Arch Cape Water District and the City of Rocksway Beach had to install other after membranes at significant cost. Now entire commonly faces higher water bills. 4 Forestry-General Forestry-General Professor Standards and protect designated uses (salmon, emphrishing, drinking water). Oregon has failed to do this, Of relies was provided as the city of the common and the city of Rocksway Beach had to install deviate the salder of the bilb. A General-Ball to meet water causility of the common and the city of Rocksway Beach had to install deviate the salder of the bilb. A General-Ball to meet water causility of the common and the city of Rocksway Beach had to install deviate the salder of the bilb. A General-Ball to meet water caused the salder to do this, Of relies had been said to the common and the city of Rocksway Beach had to this, Of relies had been said to the common and the city of Rocksway Beach had to this, Of relies had been said to the said to the said the said to the said the said to the said the said to relieve the said to the said the said the said to the said the said the said the said the said the							4	Forestry-riparian					
tribiatomethanes and haloacetic acids. These high levels are caused when excess sediment that enters public waters from logating roads and inadequate riparian buffers reacts with disinfectants required to 4 riparian, forestry-roads. 30-M treat the water. 70 meet federal drinking standards, both Arch Cape Water District and the City of Rockaway Beach had to install citated in the membranes at significant cost. Now entire community faces higher water bills. 4 Forestry-General General-fish to meet protect designated uses (salmon, marphibians, drinking water doubt bills.) 50-N CARA Requires OR to demonstrate that it has additional MMs to meet water quality standards and protect designated uses (salmon, marphibians, drinking water doubt bring adhered to or enforced. 4 Johnston or standards are successed with provided that the state desert have good buffers on on-risk brieving streams. Most original garters from the first presticities upon streams with salmon but it encouraged than NoA/FPA find that the state desert have good buffers on on-risk brieving streams. Most originally after graphing ensures that meed for better buffers and laws worth users. State 5 failure to monitor water quality after graphing ensures that meed for better buffers and laws worth users. State 5 failure to monitor water quality after graphing ensures that meed for better buffers and laws worth users. State 5 failure to monitor water quality after graphing ensures that meed for better buffers and laws worth users. State 5 failure to monitor water quality after graphing ensures that meed for better buffers and laws worth users. State 5 failure to monitor water quality after graphing ensures that meed for better buffers and laws. 5 Forestry-pesticides. 5 Forestry-pesticides. 6 Forestry-pesticides. 7 Forestry-pesticides. 7 Forestry-pesticides. 7 Forestry-pesticides. 8 Forestry-pesticides. 9 Forestry-pesticides. 9 Forestry-pesticides. 10 Forestry-pesticides. 11 General-Pain State in a failure deviation of the desiring streams. Sta	118				30-L	· Complete lack of buffers on non-fish streams make sedimentation a constant impairment/risk.	4	Forestry-riparian					
Desire the process of the process						· The drinking water for our communities routinely have high levels of known carcinogens,							
30-M treat the water. 30-M treat the water. 30-M to install serving filter membranes at significant cost. Now entire community faces higher water bills. 4 Forestry-General 50-D code federal dinking standards, both Arch Cape Water District and the City of Rockaway Beach had to install serving filter membranes at significant cost. Now entire community faces higher water bills. 5 CASAR requires OR to demonstrate that it is additional MMs to meet water quality standards and protect designated uses (standard, amphibians, drinning water). Organ has falled to de this. Or reless the protect of the standards and protect designated uses (standard, amphibians, drinning water). Organ first little of the standards and protect designated that the standards and the standards and protect designated that the standards are designated that the standards are the standards and protect designated that the standards are designated that the standards are designated that the standards are the standards are designated that the standard and the standards are designated that the standards are designate						trihalomethanes and haloacetic acids. These high levels are caused when excess sediment that enters							
30-M treat the water. 30-M treat the water. 30-M to install serving filter membranes at significant cost. Now entire community faces higher water bills. 4 Forestry-General 50-D code federal dinking standards, both Arch Cape Water District and the City of Rockaway Beach had to install serving filter membranes at significant cost. Now entire community faces higher water bills. 5 CASAR requires OR to demonstrate that it is additional MMs to meet water quality standards and protect designated uses (standard, amphibians, drinning water). Organ has falled to de this. Or reless the protect of the standards and protect designated uses (standard, amphibians, drinning water). Organ first little of the standards and protect designated that the standards and the standards and protect designated that the standards are designated that the standards are the standards and protect designated that the standards are designated that the standards are designated that the standards are the standards are designated that the standard and the standards are designated that the standards are designate						public waters from logging roads and inadequate riparian buffers reacts with disinfectants required to		Forestry-General; Forestry-					
To meet federal drinking standards, both Arch Cape Water District and the City of Rockaway Beach had a Son No Install extra filter membranes at significant cost. Now entire community faces higher water bills. CASHA requires OR to demonstrate that it has additional MMs to meet water capality standards and protect designated uses (salmon, amphibiants with are worthere that was deficient to this. OR relies to the advisors, which are worthere that was not additional filters on the control of the con	119				30-M		4						
120 30-N to install extra filter membranes at significant cost. Now entire community faces higher water bills. 4 Forestry-General					30		1	inpunuity i or estily round					
120 30-N to install extra filter membranes at significant cost. Now entire community faces higher water bills. 4 Forestry-General						To most fodoral drinking standards, both Arch Cano Water District and the City of Bockaway Roach had							
CZARA requires OR to demonstrate that it has additional MMs to meet water quality standards and rortext designated uses (stalmon, amphibiary on voluntary measures which are worthless since tely are not being adhered to or enforced. Obes not agree with PANNOA the rogen in may in was declause stream buffers for pesticide use on streams with salmon but is encouraged than NOA/EPA find that the state doesn't have good buffers on non-fish breaing streams. Most drinking water flows through non-fishbearing streams. 30-0 Oregon's pesticide discharge permit allows spranying forest canopy over water. State's failure to monitor water quality after spary was dequate stream buffers for pesticide use on streams with salmon but is encouraged than NOA/EPA find that the state doesn't have good buffers on non-fish breaing streams. Most drinking streams. 30-0 Oregon's pesticide discharge permit allows spranying forest canopy over water. State's failure to monitor water quality after spary was dequate stream buffers for pesticide use on streams with salmon but is encouraged than NOA/EPA find that the state doesn't have good buffers on non-fish breaing streams. 4 Forestry-pesticides Nontroing-improvements 124 125 126 127 128 128 129 129 129 129 129 129	120				20 N	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4	Farratus Canaral					
protect designated uses (salmon, amphibians, drinking water), Oregon has failed to do this, OR relies 30-0 heavily on voluntary measures which are worthess since relay are not being adhered to or enforced. 30-0 hose not agree with FPA/NOAN talk Oregon "may" have adequate stream buffers for pesticide use on streams with salmon but is encouraged than NOAVEPA find that the state doesn't have good buffers on non-fish breaing streams. Most drinking water flows through non-fishbearing streams. 30-0 hose in the service of the stream of the stream. 4 Forestry-pesticides 5 State's failure to montro water quality party may positive for glyphosate showing legal buffers worth or cour. DCB monitoring in letty Creek after spray was positive for glyphosate showing legal buffers on the stream of the	120				3U-IN		4						
30-0 Deavily on voluntary measures which are worthless since telly are not being adhered to or enforced. 4 voluntary approaches 0													
Does not agree with EPA/NOAA that Oregon "may" have adequate stream buffers for pesticide use on streams with salmon but is encouraged that NOAA/EPA find that the state doesn't have good buffers on non-fish breaing streams. Most offining water flows through non-fishbearing streams. 30-P non-fish breaing streams. Most offining water flows through non-fishbearing streams. 30-P oregon's pesticide discharge permit allows spraying forest canopy over water. 4 Forestry-pesticides; Monitoring-improvements needed 30-R aren't working. 30-R aren't working. 30-B aren't working. 30-B aren't working. 30-B aren't working. 30-B aren't working. 5 Thinks NOAA/EPA are wrong for lauding Oregon's Pesticide Stewardship Partnership Program even when there are not pilots in coastal area. 5 Doesn't see how NOAA/EPA are indit that OR provides sufficient protection to fish-bearing streams. 8 N. Coast Basin N. Coast Basin Coalition organization 3/19/14 30-U for Northcoast Basin) 127 Coalition organization 3/39/14 30-U for Northcoast Basin watersheds. 5 Forestry-pesticides 128 Sala Research already exists that shows problems. (Cites DEQ 2011 WQ Status and Action Plan for Northcoast Basin) 129 Sala Research already exists that shows problems. (Cites DEQ 2011 WQ Status and Action Plan for Northcoast Basin) 129 Sala Sala Sala Sala Sala Sala Sala Sal								• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
streams with salmon but is encouraged that NOAA/EPA find that the state doesn't have good buffers on 30-P non-fish breaing streams. Most drinking water flows through non-fishbearing streams. 30-P non-fish breaing streams. Most drinking water flows through non-fishbearing streams. 30-P non-fish breaing streams. Most drinking water flows through non-fishbearing streams. 4 Forestry-pesticides Forestry-pesticides Forestry-pesticides Monitoring-improvements Mo	121				30-O		4	voluntary approaches					
30-P non-fish breaing streams. Most drinking water flows through non-fishbearing streams. 4 Forestry-pesticides 5 30-Q - Oregon's pesticide discharge permit allows spraying forest canopy over water. 4 Forestry-pesticides 5 5 state's fallure to monitor water quality after sparying ensures that need for better buffers and laws won't occur. DEQ monitoring in Jetty Creek after spray was positive for glyphosate showing legal buffers 4 needed 9 n						Does not agree with EPA/NOAA that Oregon "may" have adequate stream buffers for pesticide use on							
30-Q Oregon's pesticide discharge permit allows spraying forest canopy over water. State's failure to monitor water quality after sparying ensures that need for better buffers and laws won't occur. DEC monitoring in letty Creek after spray was positive for glyphosate showing legal buffers and ready with the state of the state o						streams with salmon but is encouraged that NOAA/EPA find that the state doesn't have good buffers on							
30-Q - Oregon's pesticide discharge permit allows spraying forest canopy over water. - State's failure to monitor water quality after sparying ensures that need for better buffers and laws won't occur. DEQ monitoring in Jetty Creek after spray was positive for glyphosate showing legal buffers won't occur. DEQ monitoring in Jetty Creek after spray was positive for glyphosate showing legal buffers won't occur. DEQ monitoring in Jetty Creek after spray was positive for glyphosate showing legal buffers won't occur. DEQ monitoring in Jetty Creek after spray was positive for glyphosate showing legal buffers won't occur. DEQ monitoring in Jetty Creek after spray was positive for glyphosate showing legal buffers won't occur. DEQ monitoring in Jetty Creek after spray was positive for glyphosate showing legal buffers won't occur. DEQ monitoring in Jetty Creek after spray was positive for glyphosate showing legal buffers won't occur. DEQ monitoring in Jetty Creek after spray was positive for glyphosate showing legal buffers won't occur. DEQ monitoring in Jetty Creek after spray was positive for glyphosate showing legal buffers won't occur. DEQ monitoring in Jetty Creek after spray was positive for glyphosate showing legal buffers won't occur. DEQ monitoring in Jetty Creek after spray was positive for glyphosate showing legal buffers won't occur. DEQ monitoring in Jetty Creek after spray was positive for glyphosate showing legal buffers won't for possitive such as a possitive for glyphosate showing legal buffers won't for possitive such as a possitive for glyphosate showing legal buffers won't for possitive such as a possitive for glyphosate showing legal buffers won't for possitive such as a possitive for glyphosate showing legal buffers won't for possitive such as a possitive for glyphosate showing legal buffers with for glyphosate such as a possitive for glyphosate such as a possit					30-P	non-fish breaing streams. Most drinking water flows through non-fishbearing streams.	4	Forestry-pesticides					
State's failure to monitor water quality after sparying ensures that need for better buffers and laws won't occur. DEC monitoring in Jetty Creek after spray was positive for glyphosate showing legal buffers aren't working. 30-B aren't working. 30-B aren't working. 30-B aren't working. 4 prosestry-pesticides; Monitoring-improvements needed and aren't working. 4 prosestry-pesticides; Monitoring-improvements aren't working. 4 prosestry-pesticides; Monitoring-improvements aren't working. 4 prosestry-pesticides 4 prosestry-pesticides 5 prosestry-pesticides 8 prosestry-pesticides 8 prosestry-pesticides 9 prosestry-pesticides 125 prosestry-pesticides 126 prosestry-pesticides 127 prosestry-pesticides 128 prosestry-pesticides 128 prosestry-pesticides 129 prosestry-pesticides 129 prosestry-pesticides 129 prosestry-pesticides 129 prosestry-pesticides 120 prosestry-pesticides 120 prosestry-pesticides 120 prosestry-pesticides 120 prosestry-pesticides 121 prosestry-pesticides 122 prosestry-pesticides 123 prosestry-pesticides 124 prosestry-pesticides 125 prosestry-pesticides 126 prosestry-pesticides 127 prosestry-pesticides 128 prosestry-pesticides 129 prosestry-pesticides 129 prosestry-pesticides 129 prosestry-pesticides 120 prosestry-pesticides 121 prosestry-pesticides 122 prosestry-pesticides 123 prosestry-pesticides 124 prosestry-pesticides 125 prosestry-pesticides 126 prosestry-pesticides 127 prosestry-pesticides 128 prosestry-pesticides 129 prosestry-pesticides 120 prosestry-pesticides 12					30-Q	Oregon's pesticide discharge permit allows spraying forest canopy over water.	4	Forestry-pesticides					
won't occur. DEQ monitoring in Jetty Creek after spray was positive for glyphosate showing legal buffers a ren't working. 30-R aren't working. - Thinks NOAA/EPA are wrong for lauding Oregon's Pesticide Stewardship Partnership Program even when there are not pilots in coastal area. - Doesn't see how NOAA/EPA can find that OR provides sufficient protection to fish-bearing streams when EPA has still failed to change pesticide lables as required by NMFS. States excuse about inadequate studies and need to postpone actions to allow for additional research is unacceptable. Research already exists that shows problems. (Cites DEQ 2011 WQ Status and Action Plan for Northcoast Basin) - Supports disapproval. OR does not have a valid plan to control nonpoint source pollution in its coastal watersheds. - Supports disapproval. OR does not have a valid plan to control nonpoint source pollution in its coastal watersheds. - State is failing to protect its already imperiled runs of native salmon/steelhead. - State hasn't been able to reign in forestry and lags far behind other states. - Timber companies are unaccountable for overuse of pesticides, landslides caused by poorly maintained - Timber companies are unaccountable for overuse of pesticides, landslides caused by poorly maintained - Timber companies are unaccountable for overuse of pesticides, landslides caused by poorly maintained - Timber companies are unaccountable for overuse of pesticides, landslides caused by poorly maintained - Timber companies are unaccountable for overuse of pesticides, landslides caused by poorly maintained								, ,					
30-R aren't working. 4 needed Forestry-pesticides 4 Forestry-pesticides 5 Doesn't see how NOAA/EPA are mron pilots in coastal area. 4 Forestry-pesticides 5 Forestry-pesticides 8 Forestry-pesticides 8 Forestry-pesticides 8 Forestry-pesticides 9 Forestry-pesticides 127 Coalition organization 3/19/14 30-U in change pesticide lables as required by NMFS. 5 States excuse about inadequate studies and need to postpone actions to allow for additional research is unacceptable. Research already exists that shows problems. (Cites DEQ 2011 WQ Status and Action Plan for Northcoast Basin) 5 Forestry-General For 1 128 31-A watersheds. 1 Decision 1 Decision 1 Decision 1 Decision 1 Decision 1 Decision 1 March Wayfuses; Salmon-neede						, , , , ,							
- Thinks NOAA/EPA are wrong for lauding Oregon's Pesticide Stewardship Partnership Program even 30-5 when there are not pilots in coastal area. - Doesn't see how NOAA/EPA can find that OR provides sufficient protection to fish-bearing streams 30-T when EPA has still failed to change pesticide lables as required by NMFS. 5 Forestry-pesticides N. Coast Basin Coalition organization 3/19/14 30-U for Northcoast Basin) - Supports disapproval. OR does not have a valid plan to control nonpoint source pollution in its coastal watersheds. - Supports disapproval. OR does not have a valid plan to control nonpoint source pollution in its coastal watersheds. - State is failing to protect its already imperiled runs of native salmon/steelhead. - State is failing to protect its already imperiled runs of native salmon/steelhead. - Timber companies are unaccountable for overuse of pesticides, landslides caused by poorly maintained - Timber companies are unaccountable for overuse of pesticides, landslides caused by poorly maintained - Timber companies are unaccountable for overuse of pesticides, landslides caused by poorly maintained - Timber companies are unaccountable for overuse of pesticides, landslides caused by poorly maintained	124				30-R		4						
30-S when there are not pilots in coastal area. 4 Forestry-pesticides 5 Forestry-pesticides 7 Forestry-pes	147				JU 11	-		Песиси					
- Doesn't see how NOAA/EPA can find that OR provides sufficient protection to fish-bearing streams 30-T when EPA has still failed to change pesticide lables as required by NMFS. N. Coast Basin N. Coast Basin Coalition organization orga	125				20.0			Faractus pacticides					
30-T when EPA has still failed to change pesticide lables as required by NMFS. 5 Forestry-pesticides	1/2				30-3	·	4	Forestry-pesticides					
States excuse about inadequate studies and need to postpone actiosn to allow for additional research is unacceptable. Research already exists that shows problems. (Cites DEQ 2011 WQ Status and Action Plan Coalition organization 3/19/14 30-U for Northcoast Basin) 5 Forestry-General For 1 128 31-A Supports disapproval. OR does not have a valid plan to control nonpoint source pollution in its coastal 1 Decision 1 129 General-fails to meet wqs/uses; Salmon-need more protection 31-B State is failing to protect its already imperiled runs of native salmon/steelhead. 1 more protection 31-C State hasn't been able to reign in forestry and lags far behind other states. 1 Forestry-General For 1 130 Forestry-General For 1 14 Decision 1 15 General-fails to meet wqs/uses; Salmon-need more protection 1 15 Forestry-General For 1 16 Forestry-General For 1 17 Forestry-General For 1 18 Forestry-General For 1 19 Forestry-General For 1 10 Forestry-General For 1 11 Forestry-General For 1 12 Forestry-General For 1 13 Forestry-General For 1 14 Forestry-General For 1 15 Forestry-General For 1 16 Forestry-General For 1 17 Forestry-General For 1 18 Forestry-General For 1													
N. Coast Basin Coalition organization 3/19/14 30-U for Northcoast Basin) Supports disapproval. OR does not have a valid plan to control nonpoint source pollution in its coastal 31-A watersheds. 1 Decision General-fails to meet wqs/uses; Sal to meet wqs/uses; Sa	126				30-T	• , , ,	5	Forestry-pesticides					
Coalition Organization 3/19/14 30-U for Northcoast Basin) 5 Forestry-General For 1													
Supports disapproval. OR does not have a valid plan to control nonpoint source pollution in its coastal 31-A watersheds. 1 Decision General-fails to meet wqs/uses; Salmon-need wqs/uses; Salmon-need 31-B State is failing to protect its already imperiled runs of native salmon/steelhead. 1 more protection 31-C State hasn't been able to reign in forestry and lags far behind other states. 1 Forestry-General Forestry-pesticides; Forestry-pesticides; Forestry-landslides;		N. Coast Basin				unacceptable. Research already exists that shows problems. (Cites DEQ 2011 WQ Status and Action Plan							
Supports disapproval. OR does not have a valid plan to control nonpoint source pollution in its coastal 31-A Supports disapproval. OR does not have a valid plan to control nonpoint source pollution in its coastal General-fails to meet wqs/uses; Salmon-need wqs/uses; Salmon-need more protection 31-C State hasn't been able to reign in forestry and lags far behind other states. Timber companies are unaccountable for overuse of pesticides, landslides caused by poorly maintained - Supports disapproval. OR does not have a valid plan to control nonpoint source pollution in its coastal 1 Decision 1 General-fails to meet wqs/uses; Salmon-need more protection Forestry-General Forestry-General Forestry-general Forestry-pesticides; Forestry-landslides;	127	Coalition	organization	3/19/14	30-U	for Northcoast Basin)	5	Forestry-General	For		1		
31-A watersheds. 1 Decision 1 General-fails to meet wqs/uses; Salmon-need more protection 31-B State is failing to protect its already imperiled runs of native salmon/steelhead. 1 more protection 31-C State hasn't been able to reign in forestry and lags far behind other states. 1 Forestry-General Forestry-pesticides; Forestry-pesticides; Forestry-landslides;						· Supports disapproval. OR does not have a valid plan to control nonpoint source pollution in its coastal							
31-B State is failing to protect its already imperiled runs of native salmon/steelhead. 31-B State is failing to protect its already imperiled runs of native salmon/steelhead. 31-C State hasn't been able to reign in forestry and lags far behind other states. 50 Forestry-General Forestry-pesticides; Forestry-pesticides; Forestry-landslides;	128				31-A		1	Decision			1		
31-B State is failing to protect its already imperiled runs of native salmon/steelhead. 1 more protection 1 more protect					3=.1		-						
31-B State is failing to protect its already imperiled runs of native salmon/steelhead. 1 more protection 31-C State hasn't been able to reign in forestry and lags far behind other states. 1 Forestry-General Forestry-pesticides; Timber companies are unaccountable for overuse of pesticides, landslides caused by poorly maintained Forestry-landslides; Forestry-landslides; State hasn't been able to reign in forestry and lags far behind other states. 1 Forestry-general Forestry-pesticides; Forestry-landslides; State hasn't been able to reign in forestry and lags far behind other states. 1 Forestry-general Forestry-pesticides; State hasn't been able to reign in forestry and lags far behind other states. 1 Forestry-general Forestry-pesticides; State hasn't been able to reign in forestry and lags far behind other states. 1 Forestry-general Forestry-pesticides; State hasn't been able to reign in forestry and lags far behind other states. 1 Forestry-general Forestry-pesticides; State hasn't been able to reign in forestry and lags far behind other states. 1 Forestry-general Forestry-pesticides; State hasn't been able to reign in forestry and lags far behind other states. 1 Forestry-general Forestry-pesticides; State hasn't been able to reign in forestry and lags far behind other states. 1 Forestry-pesticides; State hasn't been able to reign in forestry and lags far behind other states. 1 Forestry-pesticides; State hasn't been able to reign in forestry and lags far behind other states. 1 Forestry-pesticides; State hasn't been able to reign in forestry and lags far behind other states. 1 Forestry-pesticides; 1 Forestry-pesti													
31-C State hasn't been able to reign in forestry and lags far behind other states. 1 Forestry-General Forestry-pesticides; Forestry-pesticides; Forestry-landslides;	120				24.5	Chata is failing to marked the class during will all many of matter and the allies of	_						
Forestry-pesticides; - Timber companies are unaccountable for overuse of pesticides, landslides caused by poorly maintained Forestry-pesticides; Forestry-landslides;							1	*					
Timber companies are unaccountable for overuse of pesticides, landslides caused by poorly maintained Forestry-landslides;	130				31-C	State hasn't been able to reign in forestry and lags far behind other states.	1						
131 (b) (6) citizen 3/19/2014 31-D logging roads, and increased sediment load in our rivers which inhibit salmon spawning ability. 1 Forestry-roads For		(1) (2)				$\ \ \cdot \text{Timber companies are unaccountable for overuse of pesticides, landslides caused by poorly maintained} $		Forestry-landslides;					
	131	(b) (6)	citizen	3/19/2014	31-D	logging roads, and increased sediment load in our rivers which inhibit salmon spawning ability.	1	Forestry-roads	For				

	Λ Ρ	C	D	Г		G	l H I	T 1	T v	1 1	М	T N
	А		D		Supports disapproval. Echoes Beyond Toxic's letter: http://www.beyondtoxics.org/wp-	G	П	,	N	L	IVI	IN
122	(b) (6)	-:+:	2/10/14	22.4		1	Tavias/Bastisidas	e				
132	(-) (-)	citizen	3/19/14	32-A	content/uploads/2014/03/CZARA_BeyondToxicsFindings2014March18.pdf	1	Toxics/Pesticides	for		1		
	0 1 1: 5: 5:				A COLUMN TO A COLU							
	Columbia River Estuary				· Against disapproval. Will negatively impact small communities that rely on NOAA/EPA funding for				_			
133	Study Taskforce			33-A	water quality improvements.	1	Decision	Againts	1			
					Receives \$ from CZM program to support coastal planner position that is involved in many water							
					quality/habitat restoration efforts at local level and plays key role in implementing czm program at local		Penalities-negative					
134				33-B	level.	1	impacts					
					· NOAA and EPA need to give state more time to develop CNPits very challenging process and takes							
135		organization	3/19/14	33-C	time.	2	General-need more time					
136				34-A	· Supports disapproval decision.	1	Decision			1		
					· While forestry is important contributor to NPS, in particular, concerned that OR's programs for new		Forestry-General; New					
137				34-B	devel and OSDS are not sufficient to meet wgs.	1	Devel; OSDS					
					· Agrees that state needs a commitment to enforce volutnary measures. NOAA/EPA should require state							1
					provide a clear path forward for implementing the new management measures consistent with the							
					6217(g) guidance, whether by incorporating it into existing the NPDES general permit or crafting a new							
					permit, and require regulatory action if voluntary measures do not result in meaningful and good faith							
					efforts to achieve compliance. Particularly important given the questionable effectiveness of the existing							
138				34-C	1200C NPDES general permit for construction activities.	2	New Devel					
136				34-0	- '		New Devel	_				
					If the state chooses a TMDL implementation approach to address new deve, we agree that the guidance							
					must require DMAs include control measures applicable to small MS4s under the Phase II program, and							
					that Oregon must adopt a regulatory back-up approach in order to ensure that the guidance is							
					implemented correctly by the DMAsif not, then state can't say it will be able to meet wqs and protect							
139				34-D	designated uses.	2	New Devel					
					· OSDS systems must be sited in locations where they are properly separated from groundwater.							
					Restricting system density lowers the nitrate input to ground water. Proper sizing of the system is							
					important to minimize concentrations of contaminants and prevent hydraulic overloading. Proper							
140				34-E	maintenance and regular inspection also needed.	3	OSDS					
					· Supports the state's planned outreach efforts to educate property owners and promote voluntary							
	Oregon Shores				inspections. Also agrees with NOAA/EPA that a lack of inspection or other enforcement mechanism							
141	Conservation Coalition	organization	3/19/14	34-5	undermines the effectiveness of Oregon's voluntary management measures.	3	OSDS	For				
		. 0.	-, -,				Decision; Salmon-need					+
							more protection; Forestry-					
142				35-A	Supports disapproval. Local salmon runs have been devestated by forestry/development.	1	General; New deve	for		1		
172				33 A	Recent pollution wiped out all coho eggs in local hatchery and kills frogs/salmon in local stream. Paper	1	General-salmon; General-	101				+
143				35-B		1						
143				33-В	said state was not investagating pollution source.	1	fails to meet wqs/uses					+
144				25.6	Oregon's efforts to address nonpoint pollution of our waters has been monumental failure (Hecta	,	General-need to improve					
144				35-C	Water Dist. Near Clear Lake)		water quality					
				a= =	·Clear Lake is directly threatened by pesticide and herbicide applications inside the watershed, as well as	_	Forestry-pesticides;					
145				35-D	land disturbance on steep slopes near the lake from logging operations.	2	Attached 1992 letter Forestry-General					
					DEQ, Lane County, and the City of Florence all regularly adopt rules and regulations which allow		from Dr. Larson that has					
					development that will obviously pollute the aquifer - commercial stormwater drainage directly into pipes	5	done his own New Devel; OSDS; Forestry	1				
					in the aquifer, residential development on septic systems next to lakes and surface water, logging		monitoring/observation General; Forestry-					
146				35-E	activities that include application of all manner of chemicals, etc.	2	s of Clear Lake. pesticides					
					·Water District tried to prevent the spraying of fertilizers, herbicides and pesticides inside the Clear Lake							
					watershed. The board was informed that there was nothing that could be done until it could be proven							
147				35-F	that something had actually harmed the water - after the spraying had been allowed.	3	Forestry-pesticides					
					•The protection zone language for herbicide spraying was purposefully written by Lane County to be							
					completely ineffective as far as application to logging operations inside the watershed, and minimal as to							
148				35-G	pollution from other human activities.	3	Forestry-pesticides					
5				55 0	Oregon politicians and officials, in my opinion, are unable to stand up to the heavy political and financial		. Stessify pesticides		1			+
					influence wielded by the timber and development industries in Oregon - influence which prevents any							
140				35-H	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Forestry general					
149				33-⊓	meaningful regulatory actions regarding nonpoint pollution of our waters.	4	Forestry-general	 				+
					Oregon does not have a workable program that meets the requirements of EPA and NOAA for a coastal		Manual Sansa					
					nonpoint pollution program. Piecemeal approaches such as promises to increase TMDL's, tighten		New devel; Forestry-					
					Department of Forestry riparian rules and decommission legacy roads, are insufficient as basic		riparian; Forestry-roads;					
150				35-I	management measures to grant Oregon approval for a nonpoint program.	4	General-water quality		1	İ		

<u> </u>				_		T -	T	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		 		1
A	В	С	D	E	F	G	H I	J	K	L	M	N
					·NOAA/EPA need to require Oregon to provide not only a solid framework of basic management							
					measures, but also a detailed and concrete list of additional management measures to actually protect							
151				25.1	riparian areas, and provide substantially increased protections for fertilizer, herbicide and pesticide	١,	Forestry-riparian; Forestry					
151				35-J	applications near fish-bearing and non-fish bearing streams.	4	pesticides					
150	(b) (6)		0/10/11	25.1/	As long as Oregon governmental agencies continue to receive Federal monies for this program, it will	١.	D 100					
152	(b) (0)	citizen	3/19/14	35-K	never create an enforceable (much less enforced) and therefore effective, program.	4	Penalities					
							Penalities-negative					
					Recognize the need to improve water quality but urges NOAA/EPA to rethink proposed decision due to		impacts; General need to					
153				36-A	signifcant impacts penalities would have on state's ability to continue to improve water quality.	1	improve water quality	Against	1			
					· 319 and 306 \$ is used to do a lot of good things to improve water quality (OWEB, TMDLs, monitoring,		Penalities-negative					
154				36-B	assit to local govn't) that are amoung the most important tools in addressing NPS.	1	impacts					
						_	Penalities-negative					
155				36-C	Penalities hurt agencies/programs but don't change the rules.	1	impacts					
	Tillamook Estuary				- Ask that NOAA/EPA continue to work with state to come into compliance but delay/avoid penalities.							
156	Partnership	organization	3/19/14	36-7	Reach out to partners like TEP to help address remaining conditions.	3	Penalities					
157		organization	3/19/14	37-A	· Against penalities.	1	Penalities	Against	1			
					• \$27,000/yr dept. receives from OR CZM is important part of budget for implementing czm on ground		Penalities-negative					
158				37-B	and controlling growth.	1	impacts					
							Penalities-negative					
159				37-C	· Oregon has strong land use planning and watershed mngt programs that benefit from this funding.	1	impacts					
	Lincoln County Board				· Taking away significant federal \$ will be counterproductive. It will take years to recover from funding		Penalities-negative					
160	of Commissions			37-D	loss and will likely not result in the changes NOAA/EPA seek.	1	impacts					
	(b) (6)				Need better mngt of toxics. There is excessive and indiscriminate use of toxic chemical poisons in land							
161	(5) (0)	citizen	3/19/14	38-A	management, including agriculture and tree farms.	1	Toxics/Pesticides	For		1		
					Need to consider all the good work cattleman have done to protect water quality - in his area, they do		Flahive - 39-A edited to Ag-General; General-made	2				
					all they can to control any contamination in OR streams. Commentor is cattleman and fisherman that		accurately reflect improvements in water					
162		citizen	3/19/14	39-A	fences his creek and observes that there are steelhead and salmon that run up it.	1	comment. quality	Unclear			1	
					· Supports proposed disapproval. Significant clear cuttings occuring in "protected" (Clear Lake)		Decision; Forestry-clear					
163				40-A	watershed w/ minimal (10 ft) buffers between waterways (including drinking water source) and homes.	1	cutting; Forestry-riparian			1		
					Spraying and burning also occurs very close to (and over) homes too causing health problems and							
164				40-B	contaminating drinking water. This should not be allowed.	1	Forestry-pesticides					
					· Attempting to relocate during spray/burn events causes financial hardship and spray/burn permits can							
					last for months. Owners are given no warning when activities will occur. Property values are lowered							
165				40-C	and no one would buy home if tried to sell due to publicity of harmful forestry activities in area.	2	Forestry-pesticides					
					· Shocked that OR allows this to happen to its citizens and hopes laws change soon to protect citizen							
166		citizen	3/20/14	40-D	health and drinking water.	2	Forestry-general	For				
167				41-A	· Supports disapproval and Lisa Arkin's (Beyond Toxics) letter	1	Decision; Toxics/Pesticides	s		1		
					· Lives in WA and notes WA aquaculture and USDA spray directly over estuariesstate and local			1				
168				41-B	authorities are reluctant to stop them.	1	Toxics/Pesticides					
					NOAA/EPA need to look at WA's pesticide practices too. Commentor believes WA pay "lip service" to			1				
					the 100ft buffer requirements they have for pesticide application but lack of enforcement leads to							
169		citizen	3/20/14	41-C	impaired waters and starfish die-offs.	1	Toxics/Pesticides	For				
170				42-A	- Supports diapproval	1	Decision					
					Oregon does not have a program in place to control nonpoint source pollution in its coastal watersheds			1				
					that is sufficient to carry out the CZARA management measures, as well as the							
					additional management measures the law requires to achieve and maintain Oregon's water quality		Decision; General-fails to					
171				42-B	standards, including protecting Oregon's designated uses, including drinking water standards.	1	meet wqs/uses					
	7						General-fails to meet	1				
172				42-C	OR's current WQS and drinking water standards are failing to protect drinking water	1	wqs/uses					
	1				Jetty Creek watershed provides drinking water to Rockaway Beach. 80% of watershed has been	t	44,	1				
					clearcut over past several years even though DEQ source water assessment noted these are steep slopes		Forestry-clear cutting;					
173				42-D	with erosive soils.	1	Forestry-landslide					
	-			·= -	Rockaway Beach drinking water has exceeded the EPA standards for allowable trihalomethane (THM)	<u> </u>		†				
174				42-E	for the last three years (forms when add Cl to overly turbid waters).	2	Forestry-General					
1, 1	-			12.5	Because its been clearcut, a lot of spraying has occurred in drinking water watershed. Drinking water		Torestry deficial	-	+			
175				42-F	had tested positive for glyphosate.	2	Forestry-pesticides					
1/3				42-F	μιαα τοστού μοσιτίνο τοι βιγμιιοσατό.		rorestry-pesticides					

Δ	R	C	D	F	F	G	Н І		1	K	1	М	N
	U		5		'	-	Forestry-pesti	cides:	,	IX	L	141	IN
					· No coordination between DEQ/ODF to conduct pesticide monitoring in timely manner and community		Attached summary Monitoring-impro						
176				42-G	is given no warning of spraying.	,	written in September needed	Vernents					
170				42-0	is given no warning or spraying.		2012 by Rockaway Forestry-pesti	cidoc	-				
177				42.11		_	Beach Citizens for Monitoring-impro						
177				42-H	No monitoring of airial drift of pesticide even when OR Health Admin says can drift for 2-4 miles.		Watershed Protection needed		4				
					After having been in contact with numerous public agencies, we are certain that Oregon does not have		which describes						
	(b) (6)	1			sufficient laws and regulations in place to insure safe and clean drinking water, as well as adequate fish		concerns regarding its General-fails to	meet					
178	(5) (6)	citizen	3/20/14	42-I	and wildlife habit.	2	drinking water wqs/uses	5	For		1		
							Decision; Pena	ılities-					
179				43-A	· Supports disapproval even it if means loss of \$4M.	1	benefits						
180				43-B	Oregon FPA aren't effective and state has no intentions to improve.	1	Forestry-Ger	neral					
					· ODF and Gov's Natural Resource staff say state's land use laws provide protections but if they worked,								
181				43-C	wouldn't have problems we see today.	1	Forestry-Ger	neral					
					· Logging around Quartz Creek denuded the area. Designation of spotted owl sites and high risk areas		Forestry-clear o						
					meant nothing to operator. Hills, road failures, and on-going erosion verify the consequences of ODF's		Forestry-General;	_	_				
182				43-D	ineffective rules and laws.	1	roads	,					
102				.55	Clear that OR forest practices are far behind CA and WA. There are signifant differences in setbacks,	_	10003		1				
					notification or application process and consequences for non-compliance rather than just passing the		Forestry-General;	Forestry	_				
102				43-E		_ ا		-					
183				43-L	consequences on to future generations.		riparian		-				
					· With 70% of Oregon's streams threatened or endangered because of temperature, sediment and			. .					
			0/2-2/		chemicals it is past time to reign in these Oregon logging practices and laws do not begin to protect		Forestry-General;						
184		citizen	3/20/14	43-F	ecosystems or future generational needs	2	fails to meet wo	•	for		1		
185				44-A	· Support disapproval.	1	Decision						
					· OR does not have effective programs in place to limit nonpoint source pollution in our coastal		General-fails to	meet					
					watersheds. The plans and rules they do have are not actually working programs sufficient to meet and		wqs/uses; Genera	l-need to					
186				44-B	maintain water quality standards and protect our clean water, fish and other public uses.	1	improve water	quality					
					· State needs to adopt additional, enforceable management measures most importantly in agricultural								
187				44-C	and forested lands	1	Ag-add MN	Лs					
					·Areas where program improvement needed that could actually work to control polluted runoff from								
					logging would be protection of riparian areas for small and medium streams (fish and non-fish bearig),								
					including sufficient riparian buffers for application of pesticides along non-fish streams; treating old								
					logging roads often built on fill that are leaching sediment, protection of high-risk landslide areas from		Forestry-riparian;	Eorostry					
100				44-D	cuts	1	roads; Forestry-la						
188				44-D 44-E	·Concur that OR does not have adequate protections for new devel. Seems to be little ESC used	1	1		-				
189				44-C	· · ·	1	New deve	:1					
					Oregon's biggest lack in management measures to help us meet water quality standards to protect our								
					Oregon coast coho, amphibians, and drinking water and other uses may be Oregon's lack of agricultural								
					practices. Legacy areas where there is only a buffer of blackberries along our rivers and streams do not								
					need to be planted, cows trample our stream banks and don't need to be fenced out are common sights.		Ag-General; Ag-le	gacy; Ag-	•				
190				44-F	Animal waste runs off through eroding fields into our streams.	1	buffers		1				
							Flahive - accurately						
					Concerned that beavers, which could help re-build our downcutting streams channels and make complex		represents comments in						
191		citizen	3/20/14	44-G	floodplains and wetlands, are trapped or hunted out.	1	letter. Beavers		For		1		
192				45-A	·NPS is biggest threat to OR coastal waters habitats, etc.	1	General-water	quality					
					Large industry (forestry roads and spraying) is impacting water quality. OR needs laws to protect water		Forestry-roads; F	orestry-					
193		citizen	3/20/14	45-B	quality. Need to use CNP to improve these issues and laws to provide better oversight.	1	pesticide		For		1		
194		1	, -,		·Supports disapproval	1	Decision		For		1		
					OR doesn't have programs in place to meet CZARA requirements, including add MMs, and meet wqs and	_	General-needs t		-				
195					designated uses.	1	wqs/uses						
193					Oregon is failing to protect are native fish; native aquatic and aquatic-dependent wildlife including birds,	1	wqs/uses	,					
					mammals, and amphibians; public and private drinking water; fishing, including eating fish free from								
100					contamination; swimming, wading, and boating; and my ability to enjoy the aesthetic qualities of		General-needs t						
196				46-B	Oregon's waters and wetlands.	1	wqs/uses		ļ				
							Forestry-General;	-	-				
					· State is not doing enough to prevent polluted runoff from forestryespecially related totimber		riparian; Fore	stry-					
197				46-C	harvesting and riparian protection (fish and nonfish-bearing streams and for pesticide application).	2	pesticide:	S					
					· Concerned about chemical use and its impacts on neighboring property (sites example of husband						·		
					experiencing side effects from alledged nearby pesticide use and contamination of domestic water								
					supplies). Need to do more than just adhear to label requirementsthat shouldn't be all that is legally								
198				46-D	required for industry to meet.	5	Forestry-pesti	icides					
		1	1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		: 2: 233, poot.		1	1			1

	D		<u> </u>			l c	Н			V		NA	NI
A	В	C	D	E	Construction (Cristal and Allert	G	H I		J	K	<u>L</u>	М	IN
100					Concerned about insufficient or complete lack of warning from ODF when pesticides will be used near	_							
199				46-E	property.	5	Forestry-pesticides						
					ODF's assumptions, policies, laws and practices, pose a huge threat to the quality of life, long term								
200				46-F	economic viability, and sustainability of our communities.	5	Forestry-General						
					OR needs to protect surface drinking water in Deer Creek Watershedcritical source of water for		Forestry-General; Genera						
201				46-G	residents.	6	needs to meet wqs/uses	5					
					Oregon doesn't have programs in place to protect and restore riparian areas needed to maintain cool								
					stream temperatures and habitat, protect and restore channel conditions from								
					modification, protect and restore wetlands, identify where more protection is needed to protect		Forestry-riparian; Ag-						
					important habitat for species, identify where more pollution control is needed to protect uses, monitor		riparian; Hydromod;						
	(b) (6)				water quality and use water quality data to improve pollution controls, monitor pesticide use and		Wetlands; Monitoring-						
					impacts, assess whether pollution controls are reducing pollution and improving water quality, link the		improvements needed;						
					enforcement agencies and process with other agencies, or use enforcement when voluntary actions are		Toxics/Pesticides; Genera	al-					
202		citizen	3/20/14	46-H	not adequate to protect water quality.	7	voluntary approaches						
203			. ,	47-A	· Support proposed decision and finding doc.	1	Decision	for			1		
							Flahive - 47-B edited to						
							accurately reflect						
204		citizen	3/20/14	47-B	Important for state to include MM for agriculture necessary to achieve and maintain WQS.		comment. Ag-add MMs						
201		CITIZCII	3, 20, 14	77 0	Use data to uniformly establish, prioritize, and track programmatic progress towards water quality goals.		Ag dud iviivis						
					Need better effectiveness monitoring to be able to make adapative changes as needed to voluntary and								
					other programs. Cites ag, in particular. Need better science to inform implementation targets and		Monitoring -						
				70.4	determine how well programs are working. (Ex. TFT's recent use of LiDAR to determine ability of buffers	1, 2,							
				73-A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3	improvements needed; A	g -					
					to produce adequate shade). Moving forward with new Ag regs without first understanding the gap		General						
					between the problem and current conditions and without data-based benchmarks for chipping away at								
205					the problem will only perpetuate issues moving forward.			for			1		
					Focus on outcomes and support the tools that achieve progress on the ground. The loss of		Penalties - negative						
				73-B	approximately \$4 million per year in funding for on-the-ground restoration runs wholly counter to what	3	impacts						
206					all agree is needed on the ground.		impuets						
				73-C	NWEA's claim that CZARA needs to be achieving WQS now is not correct. CZARA obligations may not	4, 5	General						
207				73-0	currently require controls, but instead contemplate future actions.	4, 3	General						
					Requests that NOAA/EPA include TFT's 4/22/13 response to NWEA's March 13, 2013 to EPA Regarding								
				73-D	Medford Permits to record. TFT's letter corrects factual and legal inaccuracies in NWEA's letter. Also	г с	General - Public commen						
				/3-D	should include TFT's 9/27/13 public comments to Oregon DEQ on Wilsonville's now-withdrawn water	5, 6	General - Public Commen	L					
208	The Freshwater Trust	organization	3/20/14		quality trading program as section III(C)(4)(d) of the Proposed Finding.								
		_			· State has gotten by with an ineffective piecemeal approach, including promises to tighten TMDL's,								
					increase the size of riparian buffers under Department of Forestry rules for logging on private lands,		General-need to improve	е					
					decommission and/or restore so-called legacy roads in forestlands, and craft a voluntary approach to		water quality; Forestry-						
					onsite septic leakage. All of these things are necessary, but none are remotely sufficient to solve the		riparian buffers; Forestry						
209				48-A	problems facing coastal communities.	1	roads; OSDS				1		
					· Supports disapproval. Lack of NOAA/EPA action and penalities has allowed OR to continue limping		,						
					along with half-measures for seventeen years that are effective while drinking water and other								
210				48-B	impairments occur.	7	Decision; Penalties						
					State has refused to create, use, enforce and maintain a nonpoint program that protects the designated	<u> </u>	General-fails to meet						
211				48-C	uses.	2	wqs/uses						
					• There are no 6217 MM to protect drinking water from loggingthe central issue for coastal		wq5/ d5c5						
212				48-D	communities.	ر ا	Forestry-General						
212				40-0	Agree that state need to adopt add. MM for forestry. Otherwise WQS std/designated uses (drinking	-	rorestry-deficial		-				
				48-E		٦	Forestry-General						
213				4ō-t	water) won't be met.	2	. Forestry-General	-					
]				40 5	• Drinking waters are surrounded by private forest land or are below forest operations. 20ft buffers on	_	F						
214				48-F	fish-bearing streams do not protect from sedimentation and pesticide/herbicide use.	2	Forestry-riparian						
215				48-G	Concerned about ODF's vague public notification requirements when spraying.	2	Forestry-pesticides		<u> </u>				
216				48-H	ODF/DEQ don't have regular testing protocols for pesticides after sprays.	2	Forestry-pesticides						
				_	Lack of sufficient protection for non-fish bearing streams is significant issue. Agree with NOAA/EPA that								
217				48-I	add MM for better rip protection of non-fish bearing streams is needed.	3	Forestry-riparian						
					The 20-foot riparian buffer where required is completely ineffective, and subject to blowdown in even a								
218				48-J	moderate coastal storm.	3	Forestry-riparian						

	A B	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	К	L	М	N
		1			~40% of residents in the coastal region live outside of UGBs which means that the majority of those	Ť		•	<u> </u>	1.		.,,	
					residents are on septic systems. Minimal enforcement. Sites example of how worked with Dunes Creek								
					to adopt their own OSDS ordiance to require regular inspections since county was not doing enough.								
					Attached several related ODSD docs for Dunes City. Sites other examples where hot spots of failing								
219				48-K	systems yet nothing has been done.		4	OSDS					
213				10 10	Systems yet nothing has been done.		•	0353					
					Voluntary OSDS proposal will not work (it didn't in Dunes City). No tracking and DEQ lacks resources to								
					do so. Must require OR to require Oregon, to create, maintain and enforce an onsite septic program that								
					requires at least: (a) mandatory inspection every few [three to five] years; (2) mandatory pumping								
					initially and subsequently after inspection whenever needed; (3) a step-by-step program through which								
					Oregon will help homeowners with grants and low cost loans who need help with pumping costs and/or								
					must replace old, failing septic systems; (d) explicit enforcement mechanisms. If counties have the								
					option to manage the program, the same funding and enforcement mechanisms would need to be								
220	Oregon Coast Alliance	organization	3/20/14	48-J	in place.		5	OSDS	For				
221	Ü			49-A	Supports disapproval.	1	1	Decision	for		1		
							Flahive - I believe this						
							code should be 49-B but						
							I have not made that	General-fails to meet					
222				19-B	OR doesn't have program in place to meet CZARA requirement and WQS and protect designated uses	1	1 edit.	wqs/uses					
							1	Forestry-General; Forestry-					
223				49-C	Oregon has failed to control run-off pollution from timber harvest and logging roads.	1	1	roads					
224				49-D	State has failed to control polluted runoff from urban development and roads, highways and bridges.		1	New Devel					
					Insufficient riparian buffers for fish and non-fish bearing streams contributes to polluted runoff and								
					doesn't have programs in place to adequately protect and restore riparian areas needed to maintian coo	1							
225				49-E	stream temperatures and habitat.		1	Forestry-riparian					
					OR has failed to control polluted runoff from eroding streambanks and shorelines and the effects of								
226				40.5	dams on water and habitat and channel modification and doesn't have programs in place to provide								
226				49-F	adequate protection		I Flaking 40 C	Hyrdomod					
					OR has failed to control polluted runoff from precion and sodimentation from agricultural lands and		Flahive - 49-G						
227				49-G	OR has failed to control polluted runoff from erosion and sedimentation from agricultural lands and livestock destruction of riparian areas.	,	accurately represents 1 comments in letter.	Ag-General; Ag-buffers					
227				45-0	OR doesn't have programs in place to protect streams/fish from polluted runoff from pesticide use on	-	Comments in letter.	Ag-General, Ag-buners					
228				49-H	forest land and monitor pesticide use and impjacts.	,	1	Forestry-pesticides					
220				43 11	OR doesn't have programs in place to adequately assess whether pollution controls are reducing	1		Monitoring-improvements					
229				49-I	pollution and improving water quality;	,	1	needed					
					Doesn't believe Oregon has described link between the enforcement agencies and process with other			General-voluntary					
230	Native Fish Society	organization	3/20/14	49-J	agencies and use enforcement when voluntary actions are not adequate to protect water		1	approaches					
231	,			53-A	Supports disapproval.			Decision			1		
					OR doesn't have programs in place to protect drinking water. Problems with logging, pesticide use,				1				
232				53-B	quarries.	1	1	General-Forestry					
								General-fails to meet]				
								wqs/uses; Forestry-					
233				53-C	Logging rds/overharvesting/landslides cause excess turbidity that reacts with CI to produce carcinogens.	1	1	landslides; Forestry-roads]				
234				53-D	No monitoring after spraying to understand true impacts/risks. Little warning when spraying occurs.		1	Forestry-pesticides	1				
					Need to require turbidity monitoring of streams during and after rainstorms and use enforcement for			Monitoring-improvements					
235				53-E	excess turbidity. Need road surface condition monitoring on a regular basis.	1 2	2	needed	1				
					Problems with FPA include restrictions on clearcuts to 120 ac by one owner (doesn't account for								
236				53-F	cumulative impacts of nearby owners)	1 2	2	Forestry-clear cutting	-				
227				F2 C	Need to ensure quarries operating in drinking water areas are inspected regularly and regulated	_		Monitoring-improvements					
237	Occansida Classiciata			53-G	properly. DOH only requires inspection of drinking water for organic toxics every 2 yrs. Needs to be more frequent	. 4	4	needed Manitoring improvements	-				
238	Oceanside Cleanwater Subcommittee	organization	3/15/14	53-H	DOH only requires inspection of drinking water for organic toxics every 3 yrs. Needs to be more frequent and relevant to when spraying occurs.	.		Monitoring-improvements needed	for				
239	Subcommittee	Organization	3/ 13/ 14	51-A	Supports disapproval.	 	1	Decision	101		1		
				317			-	200000	†				
					OR needs to do more to prevent NPS to bays/estuaries. All but one of the bays in which shellfish are								
					farmed commercially require daily monitoring because of pollution impacts of a non-point source origin.			General- Need to Improve					
240				51-B	Some of these growing areas may end up being closed for over 100 days each year for pollution reasons	1	1	Water Quality					
					A more rigorously regulated and monitored onsite program is needed to prevent toxic bacteria				1				
241				51-C	outbreaks like Coos Bay 2013.		1	OSDS					

А	В	C	D	F	F	G	I н	T T	J	К	1	М	N
					A closer and more critical look at how effectively pollution from agricultural origin is being controlled is			_	,	IX.		.,,	
242				51-D	needed	2		Ag-General					
							Flahive - Added 51-E. I						
							did not access the						
							comments provided by						
							the commenter on 3-6						
							and suggest that based						
		Shellfish			On April 17, request from same commenter that comments be withdrawn, and if that is not possible, be		on 51-E, 51-A, B, C, and						
		Program lead			denoted that the 3/6 comments are not an officially sanctioned response from OR DOA and the		D be struck from this						
243	(b) (6)	(ODA)	3/6/14	51-E	commenter is not authorized to speak for OR DOA.	1	document.	Ag-General	for				
2.0	(b) (6)	(027.)	5/5/21		Against disapproval. Disapproval punishes the agriculture community and our strong efforts to meet the			Ag - General; Penalties -					
244	(, (,	rancher/farmer	3/20/14	64, 66, 68-A	requirements of the CNPCP and improve water quality conditions	1		_	Against	3			
			0, 20, 21		Values the CNPCP program and 319 \$ because programs provide funding for stream improvement and			General; Penalties -		_			
245				64, 66, 68-B	restoration projects and monitoring in our areas. Penalities are counterintuitive	3		Negative impacts					
2.13					Many ranchers and farmers in my area have worked hard as required by the AWQMP rules to contribute		-	Tregative impacts					
					towards the State's efforts to meet or exceed water quality standards. For instance, local farmers and								
					ranchers have invested hundreds of hours in developing, and re-developing Ag Water Quality								
				64 66 68-0	Management Plans that formulate watershed goals and investment priority areas that will continue to	1		Ag - General; Penalties -					
				04, 00, 00 €	enhance water quality and ensure the State can meet its water quality obligations. To lose funding for	_		Negative imacts					
					these efforts would be discouraging and limit the capacity to achieve future water quality goals. He has								
246					planted trees and provides woodland/riparian boards around creeks.								
1 1 1 1					Oragon is meeting and in many ways exceeding the federal statutory and regulatory requirements for		-						
247				64, 66, 68-D	Coastal Zone Act Reauthorization Amendments (CZARA) grant funding.	1		General					
248				64, 66, 68-E	CZARA MMs are required to be economically achievable; see 16 USCS § 1455b(g)(5)	1	Each submitted the	Ag - General					-
					ODA identifies agriculture activities that are preventing achievement or maintenance of water quality		same letter individually						
				64, 66, 68-F	standards and works with farmers to modify, reduce, or remove them from our operations. ODA works	2	under their name.	Ag - General; Ag - EP&Ms					
249					with farmers to address problems voluntarily before going to enforcement.								
					Between 1998 and 2012, OWEB contributed nearly \$18 million for coastal agricultural water projects								
					and over \$5 million was provided in-kind by local SWCDs and landowners. This contributed to the								
				C4 CC C0 C	restoration of 956 linear stream miles and 2,759 acres of upland agricultural land treatments. On top of	,		As Canada As Duffana					
				64, 66, 68-G	that, land owners have voluntarily enrolled thousands of acres in federal programs that are designed to	2		Ag - General; Ag - Buffers					
					improve water quality. We have done this with the understanding that the AWQMP and our work would								
250					meet federal and state requirements for agriculture.								
				C4 CC C0 II	EPA nor NOAA, haven't provided specific data or information to support their claim that NPS problems	,		An Canada					
251				64, 66, 68-H	from ag are widespread.	2		Ag - General					
					AWQMP requires ODA to implement site-specific and site-appropriate controls. These controls are								
				64, 66, 68-1	designed to address actual water quality issues with economically achievable measures. In my area,	2		Ag - General; Ag - Buffers					
				04, 00, 08-1	farmers and ranchers are planting trees along streams, fencing streams with buffered areas, and	3		Ag - General, Ag - Bullers					
252 253					providing alternative water sources for cattle								
253				52-A	Supports disapproval.			Decision	For		1		
					FPA is written to protect the timber industry, not the human and wildlife communities it invades,								
	Land Watch Lane				riparian ordinances established to facilitate development and private property "rights" that eschew								
254	County	organization	3/20/14	52-B	public responsibility have assured the steady degradation of Oregon's environmental health and beauty.	1		General-Forestry					
255				54-A	Supports disapproval even though recognizes penalities will hurt programs working to do good.	1		Decision			1		
					OR needs improved pesticides application restrictions and protections for all classes of streams in both								
					forestry and agricultural areas. Additionally, we encourage EPA and NOAA to require even greater								
0.56					pesticide protection standards for all land use areas within the Oregon Coastal Zone to prevent many of			Forestry-Pesticdes;					
256				54-B	the unmonitored dangers that these chemicals pose to humans and aquatic species, like salmon.	1		Agriculture-Pesticides					
257				F4.C	Supports NOAA/EPA rationales for why OR hasn't meet CZARA requirements, including concerns raised	2		Desision					
257				54-C	about ag.	3		Decision					
					Oregon's pesticide laws, forestry management laws, clean water laws, and its implementing regulatory								
					programs fail to adequately protect coastal zone resources and the people living within the coastal zone								
					from the dangers of the increasing use of pesticides across all land uses and activities, but especially in								
					the activities of forestry and agriculture. In the Oregon Coastal Zone, neither FIFRA, nor state pesticides,			Forestry-Pesticdes;					
258				54-D	agricultural, or forestry laws adequately protect or account for these known risks.	3		Agriculture-Pesticides					
	1	1	i .	i .	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -		1		i	i .			

	Δ Β	(D	F	F F	G	Н І		K	ı	М	N
	7 5		<u> </u>		<u>'</u>	0	11 1	,	K	L	IVI	IN
					Although NOAA/EPA found Oregon's state-level frameworks and actions to address pesticide water							
					quality controls sufficient and even commendable because of their monitoring mandates and multi-		Forestry-Pesticdes;					
259				54-E	agency management team, none of these pilot monitoring programs are occuring in the coastal zone.	3	Agriculture-Pesticides					
233				312	EPA and NOAA improperly assume that, should riparian buffer standards for type N streams and		Agriculture i esticides					
					monitoring programs within the coastal zone adhere to existing state laws and programs concerning							
					water quality and pesticides, then Oregon's CNPCP would warrant approval. We disagree because							
					existing state and federal laws fail to address large swaths of the pesticide application activities and fail		Forestry-Pesticdes;					
260				54-F	to collect critical pesticide application and risk data.	2	Agriculture-Pesticides					
200				34-1	to collect critical pesticide application and risk data.		Agriculture-r esticides					
					Documented in a recent report, Oregon's Industrial Forests and Herbicide Use: A Case Study of Risk to							
						,						
					People, Drinking Water and Salmon, private forestry operations in Oregon operate under antiquated and	1						
					loose regulations, allowing aerial spraying and unmonitored applications of pesticides as compared to							
					their federal forestry operation and border-state counterparts. Specifically 1)There are known endocrine	!						
					disrupting chemicals entering our drinking water sources and fish-bearing streams.							
					2) Oregon does not require a no-spray buffer near homes and schools. 3) Aerial herbicide sprays							
					regularly occur directly over headwaters and tributaries of protected salmon streams. 4) Oregon permits	1						
					pesticides to be sprayed with only the smallest protective buffer of 60 feet from salmon and steelhead							
					streams—a buffer significantly smaller than other Northwest states with similar forest and river							
					ecosystems. 5) Stricter chemical and pesticide rules apply in neighboring states with heavy forestry							
					industries. 6) Under the current administrative rules, the Oregon Forest Practices Act prohibits							
					researchers, doctors and the public from obtaining accurate information about what types and		Forestry-Pesticdes;					
261				54-G	quantities of herbicides are sprayed	6	Agriculture-Pesticides					
						4-5, 7	Forestry-Pesticdes;					
262	Beyond Pesticides	organization	3/20/14	54-H	regarding unknown and unmonitored risks of pesticides.	10	Agriculture-Pesticides	for				
							Worked at DEQ in early					
							1990s to help develop					
263				55-A	Supports disapproval	1	CNP.			1		
					Notes penalities seem counterintutive to Congress' intent with CZARA to improve coastal wq and does							
					not impact the 2 agencies (ODF/ODA) that can actually do something to address issues. DEQ doesn't							
264				55-B	have authority to tell ODF/ODA to do something and lacks political will to get it.	2	Penalties					
265				55-C	Federal agencies have obligation to step in since state lacks will do anything about issues.	2	General					
					All concerns sited about ag in decision doc are correct based on commentors experience working in							
					Umqua and Mid-Coast Basins. ODA sees its role as advocate for and protector of the agricultural							
					industry, and devoted very little time, attention or resources to enforcement. Only the largest, most							
266				55-D	egregious cases have been subject to any enforcement action by ODA.	2	Ag-General					
					Served as advisory member to the Mid Coast Basin Agricultural Area Advisory Committee in its review of							
					the local area plan beginning in 2009, when specific buffer proposals were presented to the committee.							
					All of the specific proposals for riparian protection were rejected by the committee, despite their							
					knowledge of specific water quality problems in the basin created or exacerbated by inadequate riparian							
267				55-E	vegetation, including stream temperature problems and bacterial contamination from livestock.	3	Ag-Buffers					
					ODA's area plans focus on impaired areas rather than also focusing on protection: By refusing to require	+ -						
					protective management measures, ODA is allowing polluting practices to occur for many years until							
					degraded water quality conditions are documented and Total Maximum Daily Loads developed, self-							
268				55-F	implementing or otherwise.	2	Ag-General					
200				331	ODA does not track implementation and effectiveness of ODA area plans: Ag. Monitoring is not		Ag General					
					sufficient. A monitoring plan developed by ODA was submitted to the State's Independent							
					Multidisciplinary Science Team (part of the state's salmon recovery effort), which found the plan to be							
							Ag Conord: Manitorina					
260				EE C	lacking in detail and focus, and offered extensive advice to ODA about the basics of monitoring.	١ ،	Ag-General; Monitoring-					
269				55-G	http://www.fsl.orst.edu/imst/reports/ODA_06-27-06.pdf	3	Improvements Needed					
					ODAL was the search and the description of the search and the sear							
					ODA's remoting sensing monitoring of riparian areas showed very little (if any) improvements in buffers.							
270				55-H	Now ODA may be scrapping remote sensing monitoring program for something else (see link in letter).	3	Ag-General					
271				55-I	ODA has authority to take action against legacy issues but lacks political will.	4	Ag-General					
					Protection of riparian areas: ODF's own study, Ripstream, documents that harvesting on private forest							
					land carries a significant risk (estimated at 40%) that harvesting will result in violations of Oregon's water	ſ						
272				55-J	quality standard for protecting cold water.	4	Forestry-riparian					

A B	C	D	F	F F	G	Н І	1	К	1	М	N
	C	5		In theroy, EQC has legal authority to require changes that will provide protection to streams, the				K	_	141	11
				practical reality is that there is no certainty whatsoever that there will be any additional riparian							
				protection provided. EQC/DEQ can petition BOF but they can take 2 yrs to act and even then, could							
273			55-K	decide no to do anything.	4	Forestry-riparian					
274			55-L	Significant stream turbidity issues in Suislaw due to forest activities/rds.	5	Forestry-roads					
				Analysis of pesticide application records in the Triangle Lake area west of Eugene shows that in the study	,						
275			55-M	area, more than 20 tons of pesticide products were applied in just a three-year period.	5	Forestry-pesticides					
273			33	Supports Beyond Toxics Comments. Need mandatory spray buffers and vegetated riparian zone. Buffers		Forestry-pesticides;					
276 (b) (6)	citizen	3/20/14	55-N	around streams.	6	Forestry-riparian	for				
277		, ,	56-A	Support disapproval.	8	Decision			1		
				Concerned about the impacts of polluted runoff from currently defined NPSs that are a product of							
				timber harvest, agriculture and urban development. Specifically how those sources currently raise							
				stream temperatures, and pollute our waterways with bacteria, turbidity and sediment and the ways							
				these types of activities impact stream banks stability, and unnaturally increase the speed of runoff and							
				stream flow following precipitation events, altering the natural hydrograph and changing erosion							
				patterns. These types of pollution and other alterations effect threatened species such as Southern							
				Oregon Northern California Coast (SONCC) coho salmon, other aquatic life and the public's ability to		General- water quality;					
278			56-B	safely recreate and obtain clean drinking water.	1 to 2	general-salmon					
				OR needs additional MM for forestry. State's claim that land use laws and voluntary FPA are sufficient is							
279			56-C	false. Much more is needed.	2	Forestry-general					
				State has had over 16 yrs of notice backed by numerous studies/reports (1998 conditional approval,							
				IMST, Ripstream, NMFS SONCC, Statewide Eval of FPA Effectiveness) that needs to do more with forestry	,						
280			56-D	yet they still claim voluntary is way to go.	2 to 3	Forestry-general					
281			56-E	NMFS recommeded buffers range from 150-300ft far above 20ft that OR has (only for fish-bearing).	3	Forestry-riparian					
				Need larger spray buffers (may be better tha mulit-agency approach that attempts to monitor pesticide							
282			56-F	impacts).	3	Forestry-pesticides					
				State's July 1, 2013 submission lacks any description or details about what methods the state uses in							
				evaluating effectiveness of BMPs, nor a process for evaluating when additional BMPs may be required to							
				protect beneficial uses, nor any criteria for enforcement if the use (or not) of those BMPs results in							
				detrimental impacts to beneficial uses. The State goes on to claim that "Voluntary reporting of voluntary							
				measures has diminished in past years, however it is reasonable to assume that voluntary measure							
				implementation has not." If reporting has dropped, it does not seem reasonable to assume that							
283			56-G	implementation continues, considering the voluntary nature.	3	Forestry-roads					
				States voluntary approach to address new devel isn't sufficient. TMDLs for a number of parameters							
				certainly cover the bulk of the area in question, but may not cover the whole CZARA area, nor would							
				they be for all the parameters that may be at issue in those areas. Needs to be very clear what authority							
				they will use, show development of an implementation structure, a commitment of resources to that							
				structure, a track record of use of backup authority when criteria require it, and a clearly articulated							
				method to evaluate progress. In the interim while those are being developed, the State needs to be clear							
				on what type of outreach and training will be done as part of the voluntary measures that are being							
284			56-H	proposed.	4	New Development					
285			56-I	State needs direct rule for new devel.	4	New Development					
				OR doesn't have sufficient ag programs to meet CZARA requirements. Inland Rogue Agricultural Water							
				Quality Management Area Plan (IRAWQMAP) management plans lacks specific thresholds for							
				unacceptable activity, and thus are based on the subjective Rogue Riverkeeer comments RE: NOAA, EPA							
				seek public comment on proposal to disapprove Oregon's Coastal Nonpoint Pollution Program opinion							
			5 0 :	of ODA staff. ODA does not appear to take water quality issues seriously as enforcement is strictly	F . ~						
286			56-J	complaint driven, and enforcement is limited and incredibly slow when it does occur.	5 to 8	Ag-General					
			FC ''	ODA staff has informed our staff that enforcement is complaint driven. Enforcement must be more	C 0						
287			56-K	proactive.	6, 8	Ag-General					
				When there is enforcement, it is incredibly slow and ineffective. In 2011 Rogue Riverkeeper requested all							
				complaints from since the IRAWQMAP was put in place for the Inland Rogue. Only 20 complaints for							
				both the Inland Rogue and Bear Creek areas were filed, and most of them had limited follow up. In one							
				instance on Antelope Creek first reported in early 2008, it took 1.5 years from the initial complaint of							
200			FC !	significant bacteria pollution from horses and cows to a letter of non-compliance (report tracking		A = Company					
288			56-L	number 08-16).	. 8	Ag-General					
				We ask that EPA/NOAA require Oregon to implement additional management measures, in particular for		Ag-add MMs; Foresty-					
200 Board Bires Kasara	organization	2/20/44	EC NA	agriculture, forestry and urban development, to meet water quality standards and protect designated	0.0	general, New	for				
289 Rogue River Keeper 290	organization	3/20/14	56-M	uses.	8,9	Development	for				
230											

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1		1			ı	Т		1			1	
A	В	С	D	E	F F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N
291				58-A	Support disapproval.			Decision	for		1		
					Climate Change Preparation/Mitigation, and Ocean Acidification: Need to prepare for climate change by								
					putting programs in place to prevent harm to water quality and make watersheds more resilient to large								
					storms, by requiring wider stream buffers for forestry and agriculture operations, larger fish-friendly								
					culverts that pass more water from larger storms, improved road drainage, road drainage disconnected								
					from streams, removal of valley bottom and mid-slope roads that intercept the downslope movement of			General-need to include					
					beneficial wood and sediment, reduced road density especially in steep terrain, and better protection for			other issues; Forestry-					
292				58-B	unstable slopes.	1		general					
232				30 5	Oregon's programs for protection of water quality could be improved by fully implementing its statewide	J -		General-need to include					
202				F0 C		1	,						
293				58-C	land use goals which incorporate concepts of "carrying capacity."	3	3	other issues					
					Oregon has approved several TMDLs in the Coast Range but the assumptions underlying those TMDLs								
					are about to be undermined by efforts to reduce stream protection on federal forest lands. All of the								
					alternatives proposed by BLM for the revision of its Resource Management Plans in western Oregon call								
					for significant narrowing of stream buffers, and none of the action alternatives maintain the current								
294				58-D	buffers. http://www.blm.gov/or/plans/rmpswesternoregon/files/alternfaq.pdf	4		Forestry-General					
					The TMDLs approved by the state allow more logging on non-federal lands, under the assumption that								
					there logging near streams on federal lands would be strictly limited. Now it turns out that there will								
					likely be more logging near streams on federal lands, so there needs to be a corresponding decrease in								
					logging near streams on non-federal lands in order to avoid exceeding the watershed scale waste load								
295					identified in the TMDLs.			Forestry-logging					
296				58-E		4,5,6		Forestry-General					
290				J0-L		4,3,0		-					
207				F0 F	Oregon needs greater controls on spraying chemicals such as pesticides and herbicides in coastal	,		Forestry-pesticides;					
297				58-F	watersheds, especially near streams.	6)	Agriculture-pesticides					
					Cites issues w/ existing OR struture for regulating wq. DEQ delegated authority to ODF/ODA (controlled			Forestry-General; Ag-					
298				58-G	by industry), lack of public participation, BOF stacked by pro-industry, etc.	6,7		General; Other					
								Forestry-clear cut; Forestry	۱ ۱				
					Cites numerous studies about inadequacy of OFPA and how its worse than federal and neighboring	7 to		landslides, Forestry-					
299	Oregon Wild	organization	3/20/14	58-H	states.	11		riparian; Forestry-roads					
					Concerned about pesticide spraying. Secondhand account of citizens in western Lane County that had								
				59-A	insecticide show up in blood tests and became ill after pesticide spraying. More needs to be done to	1		Forestry-Pesticides; Ag-					
300	(b) (6)	citizen	3/20/14	00 7.	protect human health from pesticide exposure.	_		Pesticides	unclear			1	
300		CICIECTI	3/20/11		Supports disapproval. Because 1) basic agricultural management measures are not in place and 2)				uncicui			-	
				60.4	current agricultural nonpoint source controls are insufficient to protect water quality and designated	1		Ag Conoral					
201				60-A		1		Ag-General			1		
301					uses				-		1		
					OR fails to adequately regulate CAFOs. Study by Lewis and Clark Law School's Animal Law Clinic found			[Note: "Ag-CAFOs"					
				60-B	that ODA lacks federal authorization to manage NPDES programs.	2		category is outside of					
302								CZARA's scope]					
					Oregon does not have basic management measures for agriculture in place because the State fails to								
					adequately regulate CAFOs. Enforcement of agricultural water quality in Oregon is limited and largely		Letter contains citations						
				60-C	complaint-driven. In addition to numerous documented examples of actual pollution, complaints against	2	in footnotes for various	Ag-General					
					certain CAFOs are repeatedly submitted with no follow-up done or recorded. Many complainants report								
303					that ODA is unresponsive and dismissive of their concerns.		claims						
	1				Agricultural Water Quality Management Area ("AWQMA") plan is entirely voluntary. "The rules adopted		1		1				
					under this subsection shall constitute the only enforceable aspects of a water quality management								
				60-D	plan." O.R.S. § 568.912(1). "Area rules are the only enforceable aspect of an AWQMA plan." O.A.R. 603-	3		Ag-EP&Ms					
				ט-ט)		AB-FL αIAIS					
204					090-0000 (4). And this voluntary program is not backed up by any legal enforcement authority to								
304	-				regulate nonpoint sources as EPA/NOAA requires.		4		1				
	Socially Responsible			60-E	Oregon's CNPCP contains insufficient measures to achieve and maintain water quality standards and	3		Ag-Add MMs					
305	Agriculture Program	organization	3/20/14		protect designated uses. Additional management are needed.				for				
306				61-A	Supports disapproval	1					1		
					Oregon has failed to control NPS from timber harvest and the construction and maintenance of logging								
					roads. Last year I participated in steelhead spawning surveys on the Salmonberry River in Oregon's coast			Favority Banda 5					
					range. I saw the results of poorly planned logging roads on steep slopes where whole hillsides had slid			Foresty - Roads; Forestry -					
				61-B	down into the creek below after heavy winter rains. I do not believe that Oregon's Forest Practices Act is	1		Landslides					
					adequately protecting the riparian areas which results in degraded water quality for fish/wildlfe and								
307	(b) (6)	citizen	3/20/14		drinking water.				for				
307 308	. , , ,	UUZEII	3/20/14	C2 ^		4			for		1		
308				62-A	Supports disapproval	1			-		1		
				62-B	Concerned with logging impacts from pesticide/herbicide use and habitat "mistreatment". There should	1		Forestry - Pesticides					
309					be no aerial spraying close to known drinking water sources.	1		,					

А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N
								Monitoring -					
				62-C	Need more regular monitoring of drinking water for pesticides/herbicides; designated uses and water	1		Improvements needed;					
310				02 0	quality standards in coastal watersheds are not protected.	_		Forestry - Pesticides					
310	\dashv				There should be larger buffers to protect from temperature impacts, particularly in the Siletz River			rorestry resticiaes	-				
211	(b) (6)	a!#!	2/20/44	62-D		2		Forestry - Riparian	£				
311	(~) (0)	citizen	3/20/14	62.1	watershed.				for				
312		citizen	2/26/14	63-A	Supports disapproval	1			for		1		
					Concerned with logging impacts, particularly from clearcutting and resultant hillside erosion, which may			Forestry - General;					
					pollute our drinking water spring. We had severe clearcutting around our private forest and this caused	1		Forestry - landslides					
313				63-B	substantial loss of river quality.			rorestry landshaes					
					Inadequate WO menitoring of legging impacts	4		Monitoring -					
314	(b) (c)			63-C	Inadequate WQ monitoring of logging impacts	1		Improvements needed					
315	(b) (6)			63-D	Inadequate protection and restoration of riparian areas	1		Forestry-riparian					
316				63-E	Disruption from tree harvests and road construction	1		Forestry-roads; clear cut					
					Concerned about pesticide spraying. They have tested posititive for pesticide/herbicides even though								
317				76-A	they run an organic farm.	1	First-hand account	Forestry - Pesticides	unclear			1	
318	_		=	76-B	Would like to incorporate many other studies/reports by reference (included links in letter)	1		Forestry - Pesticides	uncicui				
319	_	sitizon	2/20/14			2							
320	_	citizen	3/20/14	76-C	Supports pesticide-free buffers around schools, such as near Triangle Lake.			Forestry - Pesticides			4		
320	_	organization		65-A	Supports disapproval				-		1		
				-	Comments are limited to highlighting the inadequacy of OWRD's Water Use Basin Program as support								
				65-B	for meeting the 6217(g) agricultural management measures and conditions placed on Oregon's Coastal	1		Ag - General					
321			<u> </u>		Nonpoint Program				1				
							pp. 2-3: "For a						
					NOAA/EPA findings incorrectly state that OWRD's "Water Use Basin Program supports the irrigation		contemporary view on						
					measure by establishing sub-basin classifications and limits on water use to ensure water quality and		the Basin Plans, please						
					habitat for sensitive and endangered species is not impaired." This statement is not supported by the		see OWRD's "Place-						
					contents of any of the coastal Basin Programs. (Attached for reference). To the contrary, Oregon's Basin		Based Integrated Water						
				65-C	Programs do not ensure, either legally or practically, that water quality and habitat for sensitive and	1	Resources Planning,	Ag - General					
					endangered species will not be impaired. We urge EPA/NOAA to take a close look at the deficiencies of		Initial Observations						
					the Basin Programs before attributing any water quality or fish habitat protection value to them as a		from the State of						
					measure in support of Oregon's agricultural conditions.		Oregon" (March 10,						
322			=				2014)						
				65-D	Oregon's rules provide no assurance that water use will be adequately limited to maintain those	2		Ag - General					
323				UJ-U	minimum flows			ng General					
				CF F	Basin Programs also fail in practice to protect minimum perennial streamflows and instream rights held	2		Ag Conord			-		
324				65-E	by OWRD for the protection of aquatic wildlife and water quality.	2		Ag - General					
					EPA should disapprove Oregon's agricultural measures The lack of protection offered by Oregon's				1				
	Water Watch of			65-F	Water Use Basin Programs for preservation of aquatic life and designated uses should be acknowledged	23		Ag - General					
325	Oregon		3/20/14	55 1	in the agencies' final determination	_,5			for				
326	0.05011	Wild Salmon	3, 23, 14	67-A	Supports disapproval although regrets loss of funding.	1		Forestry - General	.01		1		
320	_	Center,		07-A	Oregon does not have a program in place to control nonpoint pollution sufficiently to meet the	1	+	r orestry - deficial	1				
		•		C7 F		4		Forestmy Decide: Forest					
		Northwest		67-B	additional CZARA MM needed to attain/maintain wqs and protect designated uses, particularly due to	1		Forestry - Roads; Forestry -					
327		Guides and			logging on private lands.	_	<u> </u>	Landslides					
328		Anglers	<u> </u>	67-D	Observed sediment loads from forest roads and landslides	1	Many aerial photos		-				
		Association,		67-D	State's own Ripstream study note inadequacy of buffers to control temperature and other WQ impacts	1	provided to back up	Forestry - Riparian					
329	(b) (6)	Oregon Chapter		U, D		_	assertions						
330		of the Sierra		67-E	Additional MMs needed for foresty such as what is described on pg. 7-12 of proposed findings.	1		Forestry - General					
331		Club, Pacific		67-F	Used Salmonberry River in north Coast range as prime example of impacts.	2		Forestry - General					
		Rivers Council,		a= -	Refutes OR's claims the land use laws provide sufficient protection even if they've helped prevent			-	1				
332		private citizen	3/20/14	67-G	sprawl, still need to control forest industry that is damaging remote watersheds	11		Forestry - General	for				
333			-,,	69-A	Supports disapproval	1			for		1		
				33 / (Waters are at risk from pesticides and other toxic chemicals, oil and grease, sediment, salts, excess	-							
				69-B	bacteria and nutrients released from agricultural and timber lands, from roads and urban areas, from	1		General - Habitat					
224				מ-כט		1		protection					
334					construction and mining areas, from eroding stream banks, livestock, and faulty septic systems.								
					Especially concerned about inadequate buffer for aerial spray pesticide application. Oregon has an			Forestry - Pesticides;					
	Lane County Audobon			69-C	inadequately small no-spray buffer zone around fish-bearing streams and no effective program to	2		Forestry - Riparian					
335	Society of Oregon	organization	3/20/14		protect non-fish bearing streams.			Torestry Imparian					
				70.4	Supports disapproval	1	Report is attached;						
336				70-A	Supports disapproval	1	many references cited				1		
			ı L						1	, ,			1

Δ	R	C	D	F	F F	G	НІІ	1	К	1 1	М	N
A	U		J	70-B	Our comments address the inadequacies of Oregon's existing program to implement the required CZARA management measures, its inability and disinterest in evaluating the sufficiency of those management measures to ensure pesticides do not violate Oregon's water quality standards and impair its designated uses, its lack of a monitoring program to support such an evaluation, and its lack of practices that	-	General - Pesticides; General - Monitoring improvements needed	,	, and the second	L	171	- IV
337				70-C	protect those designated uses. Beyond Toxics report on pesticide/herbicide use in forestry shows that FPA lacks any program to protect Oregon streams and their beneficial uses (see report attached). Requires no pesticide buffer on non-fish streams even though neighboring states (WA, ID) require 25ft buffers. In non-fish bearing streams,		Forestry - General; Forestry - Pesticides;					
338 339 340				70-D 70-E	amphibians and crawfish are affected by pesticide application Unknown risks from synergistic interactions of chemicals mixed together. Oregon has inadequate protection of fish-bearing streams and drinking water compared to neighboring states.	2,3	Forestry - Riparian Forestry - Pesticides Forestry - Pesticides; Forestry - Riparian					
341				70-F	Oregon has no program to determine the presence of forestry pesticides in the air and resulting in drift and deposition onto surface waters and soils.	3,4	Pesticides - Monitoring					
342				70-G	Herbicides (e.g., Atrazine) can persist in water and can bind with soil particles, so under OR's FPA, pesticides such as atrazine are sprayed into dry channels that become active in wetter months, carrying herbicides downstream to fish.	4	Forestry - Pesticides					
343				70-H	State doesn't have a program to protect groundwater/drinking water.	4	Pesticides - Monitoring					
344				70-1	The EPA should require ODF, in consultation with DEQ, to exercise their authority to review, comment, and require modifications of forest vegetation management written plans based on an environmental and water quality risk assessment and proof of compliance with state and federal laws.	4,5	General - Pesticides					
345				70-J	Oregon must develop a research program to determine if aerial application of herbicides is necessary for timber production. Oregon needs additional management measures to protect uses and water quality from pesticide drift.	5	Monitoring - Improvement needed; Forestry - Pesticides					
346				70-K	Oregon has no program to determine if federal label laws are being complied with.	5	Pesticides - Monitoring					
2.47	Davis and Taxabas		2/40/44	70-L	Evidence suggests that federal label restrictions for Atrazine, an Oregon-regulated herbicide, are not	6	Pesticides - Monitoring/	c				
348	Beyond Toxics	organization	3/18/14	77-A	being followed. Also, poor record-keeping on pesticide applications Against disapproval. Believe Oregon's Forest Practices Act, and its implementing regulations, comply with CZARA requirements.	1	Enforcement General	for	1			
349				77-B	NOAA/EPA 1998 conditional approval findings and 2013 proposed finding that asserts Oregons needs additional MMs for forestry failed to reference any WQS and included very sparse analysis as to why these MM were needed.	1, 2	General					
350				77-C	Original Findings and the Proposed Findings are both legally and scientifically deficient	2	General					
				77-D	CZARA statute requires a 3-step analysis for the states to take before additional MMs can be imposed, including: 1) identify land uses which may cause or contribute significantly to a degradation of: (A) those coastal waters where there is a failure to attain or maintain applicable water quality standards or protect designated uses, as determined by the State pursuant to its water quality planning processes; or (B) those coastal waters that are threatened by reasonably foreseeable increases in pollution loadings from new or expanding sources. 2) identify Critical Coastal Areas (CCAs); 3) identify additional MMs within CCAs to address impairments		This is a very significant comment for the legal team to address. 22-page letter is signed by Heath Curtiss, General Counsel & Director of Government Affairs,					
351					and are necessary to attain WQS. This authority to determine additional MMs is reserved exclusively for the state, not the federal agencies. Further, CZARA doesn't require states to adopt additional MMs that "may be necessary" or are "arguably necessary" to meet WQS, only ones that actually "ARE necessary." NOAA/EPA have provided no indication that their self-selected additional MMs will enable the state to meet WQS.		OFIC. CC to Gov. Kitzhaber, Richard Whitman & 2 state agency directors (DEQ & DOF)					
352				77-E	To overcome Oregon's determination that a particular land use does not contribute significantly to a degradation of water quality standards, the Agencies would need to produce evidence to the contrary. Likewise, to overcome Oregon's determination that additional management measures are not "necessary to achieve and maintain water quality standards," the burden would again be on the Agencies to produce evidence to the contrary.	4	General - Legal; General - Problems with CZARA					

A B	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N
353			77-F	Oregon's Forest Practices Act establishes a dynamic program that responds promptly and deliberately to environmental issues as they arise With respect to water quality, the Oregon Forest Practices Act (the "OFPA") mandates that the Board of Forestry adopt standards for forest practices that "provide for the overall maintenance" of "water resources, including but not limited to sources of domestic drinking water." ORS 527.710(2)(b). The OFPA also charges the Board of Forestry with establishing "best management practices and other rules applying to forest practices as necessary to insure that to the maximum extent practicable nonpoint source discharges of pollutants resulting from forest operations on forestlands do not impair the achievement and maintenance of water quality standards established by the Environmental Quality Commission." ORS 527.765(1). Note that this language hews closely to the CZARA requirement that the CNPCP include additional management measures necessary to "attain or maintain applicable water quality standards." Forest Practice Rules are fully enforceable.			Forestry - General; Forestry - Legal					
354			77-G	FPA requires BMP monitoring with adaptive feedback. Board has charged ODF with pesticide use monitoring, OAR 629-620-0700(1), and landslides and public safety monitoring. OAR 629-623-0000(4). In each circumstance, the Board will consider the monitoring results and take appropriate action, including when necessary, development of new forest practice rules. Cites example of 2002 road runoff drainage study that led to improved rules. FP Rules have evolved over time.		IFD Briles have evolved	Forestry - General; Forestry - Legal	-				
355			77-H	NOAA/EPA findings that that Oregon's existing measures for protection of medium and small fish bearing streams (type-F) and non-fish bearing streams (type-N) are not adequate to protect water quality and designated uses relies on an uncritical view of the 15-year-old Ripstream IMST, and 12 year-old Sufficiency Analysis, and fails to consider the most current and relevant research. At best, it is an incomplete and inaccurate assessment of the most recent science findings. At worst, it represents a fundamental misunderstanding of the science.	7	Discussion of other research findings continues on p. 8 and following	Forestry - Riparian					
356			77-I	NOAA/EPA misinerpreted the RipStream Study findings. See different RipStream conclusions on p. 8.	8		Forestry - Riparian					
357			77-1	The lack of any discussion about findings from the Watersheds Research Cooperative (the "WRC") represents a huge omission in the Agencies' analysis of the Oregon CNPCP. In the Sufficiency Analysis (ODF and ODEQ 2002) there is a discussion about the adequacy of riparian buffers along small type-N and small and medium type-F streams.	8,9	Effects on temperature noted in WRC study are discussed on pp. 10-11. WQ & wood recruitment discussed on pp. 12-13.	Forestry - Riparian					
358			77-J	We disagree that the FPA is not protective of high-risk landslide prone areas. in evaluating the results from Turner et. al. (2010), it is misleading to focus only on landslide density relationships. Rather, it is important to also consider the total number of landslides triggered during major storms. While landslide densities have been shown to be higher in steep terrain with young forest stands, the proportion of this area across mountainous terrain is potentially very low, so that potential increases in sediment delivery to public resources from landslides triggered in these areas is also proportionately small Channel alterations from debris flows are a naturalhabitat-forming process and not necessarily negative.	15,		Forestry - Landslides					
359			77-K	EPA argues that Oregon must have additional management measures for forestry to protect HLHLs, to maintain good water quality, and to ensure that designated uses are protected. However, EPA does not offer any objective evidence that these additional measures are necessary. We respectfully suggest that EPA consider a landscape-scale view over long timeframes as the proper context for evaluating whether water quality standards and designated uses are impaired or attained. Disturbance and recovery processes are an essential part of these landscape-driven forest ecosystems.			Forestry - Landslides					
360			77-L	From a strictly legal perspective, the Agencies have produced no evidence (much less, substantial evidence), that landslides resulting from forest management activities are causing water quality standard exceedances, or negatively impacting aquatic life more than landslides do under background conditions. Without more, a decision to disapprove Oregon's CNPCP would not withstand judicial review.	17		Forestry - Landslides					
361			77-M	Roads: The Agencies "remain concerned" (about forest roads delivering sediment into streams) without citing a single source indicating a problem exists, without citing any water quality standard or beneficial use the rules fail to protect, indeed without citing a single reason for concern.	17		Forestry - Roads; Forestry - Legal	_				
362			77-N	Roads: There have been significant new rule revisions in 2002 and 2003, and broad success under the Oregon Plan for Salmon and Watersheds, all detailed thoroughly in the State's July submission to the Agencies.	17		Forestry - Roads					

А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н І	J	K	L	М	N
363				77-0	The agencies allege that the state has not provided "a commitment to exercise its back-up authority to require implementation of additional management measures for forestry roads, as needed." This is ludicrous. The rule revisions in 2002 and 2003 indicate that the OFPA is working precisely as it should, and evidence a continuing commitment by the Board of Forestry to implement additional management measures as needed. One would be hard-pressed to imagine better evidence of the Board's commitment. If there were additional data indicating that forest roads continue to "cause or contribute significantly to a degradation of coastal waters"—an issue ODF is actively monitoring under OAR 629-635 0110—then the Board would initiate a new rulemaking, as it has done repeatedly in the past.	17	Forestry - Roads					
364				77-P	The Agencies also assert that the State has not provided sufficient data to the Agencies to document effectiveness of voluntary efforts under the Oregon Plan. The Agencies suggest that an extensive (and expensive) inventory and reporting program for forest roads is necessary "to determine the extent of forestry road miles not meeting current road standards within the nonpoint management area." Here, the Agencies presume a problem exists (again, without citation to a single source) until the State can prove otherwise. However, nothing in CZARA requires that a state prove a negative. Additionally, data shows that salmon stocks are recovering since the 1990s. Finally, we are not aware of any scientific evidence indicating that habitat and water quality conditions have materially improved in Washington State due to implementation of their road maintenance and abandonment program	18	Forestry - Roads					
365				77-Q	Alleging that Oregon's rules are insufficient without reason, and without any support, is the definition of arbitrary, and a disapproval action on this basis would not survive even cursory judicial scrutiny.	19	Forestry - Roads; Forestry - Legal					
366				77-R	Water quality monitoring of a type-N (non-fish bearing) forest stream during and after herbicide spray operations (applied under OFPA rules and guidelines and FIFRA/labeling regulations) shows no evidence of detrimental impacts. Nevertheless, Oregon continues to support monitoring that would identify potential problems should they arise Recent monitoring has not found a problem with contemporary forest aerial herbicide spray operations; in fact just the opposite. Oregon is currently monitoring for over 100 pesticides, which will allow the state to respond should herbicides be identified at unacceptable levels.	19, 21	Research supporting OFIC/OSWA comments presented on pp. 20-21.					
367				77-S	Since 1998 there have been significant changes in how chemicals are applied to forests under FIFRA. Findings from the Spray Drift Task Force and other research led to revisions in chemical labeling. Pesticide applicators are licensed under FIFRA and recent court rulings have further increased regulation of applicators and land owners. Oregon's Forest Practices Act rule guidelines state that applications must comply with the most stringent of requirements of either the label, or forest practice rules and guidelines.	19	Forestry - Pesticides					
368	Oregon Forest Industries and Oregon Small Woodlands Association	organization	3/20/14	77-T	ODF has developed extensive guidelines for implementing the Oregon Forest Practices Act rules for herbicide applications to forest lands. See Oregon Department of Forestry, Forest Practice Rule Guidance: Chemicals and Other Petroleum Products (2009), available at http://goo.gl/uv8oIH. Also cite pesticide monitoring studies that show no significant impact.	19	Forestry - Pesticides	Against				
369		J		78-A	Agree with NOAA/EPA that state needs to do more to address osds, new devel, and ag but does not support penalities because they will impact important pro-environment programs. Rather hopes state will make improvements to programs to avoid disapproval. Saw a draft of guidance to urban DMAs regarding post-construction stormwater management, and we believe it will be a helpful document. However, DEQ has not demonstrated	1	Decision	Unclear (against penalities)			1	
370				78-B	that it has the ability to educate DMAs or ensure that the guidance is implemented. DEQ's basin coordinators are spread too thin and the agency lacks the capacity and perhaps the expertise to provide technical assistance to urban DMAs to ensure that TMDLs are implemented. We believe Oregon should require urban DMAs to adopt specific post-construction stormwater	1	New Development					
371				78-C	management strategies similar to those required in Phase II MS4 permits, rather than only recommending that they do DEQ has no way of measuring whether the voluntary OSDS program results in an increase in onsite	2	New Development					
372				78-D	system inspections. We still think there is a need for regular inspections of existing septic systems, whether it takes place at the time of property transfer or at a different time. We would like to see Oregon DEQ take a more proactive role in establishing similar programs in areas	2	OSDS					
373				78-E	where septic systems are impacting water quality – increasing onsite system inspections as well as financing repairs, and measuring the program's effectiveness	2	OSDS					

	A B	С	D	E	F	G	H I J K L	M	N
	·		† – –	_	Oregon is currently failing to protect water quality standards and beneficial uses in agricultural areas in				.,
					our coastal watersheds, including habitat necessary to the survival of native fish and to support both				
					recreational and commercial fisheries. This is due to the failure of the state's agricultural water quality				
					program to control run-off pollution from riparian areas and to control erosion and sediment from				
374				78-F	agricultural lands on fish bearing streams.		Ag general; Ag- buffers		
37.1				,	It is publicly acknowledged by Oregon Department of Agriculture (ODA) and Oregon Department of		Tig general, rig sames		
					Environmental Quality (DEQ) staff that 100% landowner compliance with current agricultural water				
					quality management area rules alone is not sufficient to meet Water Quality Standards, including TMDL				
375				78-G	Load Allocations. No restoration of rip. vegetation is required by AWQA rules.		Ag general; Ag- buffers		
373				700	ODA has recently developed a new strategy for its water quality program to		7.8 Serietal, 7.8 suriets		
					determine compliance with the rules. This is an important step forward. However, there				
					is still a serious scale problem with the program's ability to ensure compliance with the				
					rules. Under ODA's current plan to assess agricultural landowner compliance with the				
					area rules by 6th field HUC watershed, it can assess compliance in 6-12 6th field HUCs/biennium. At this				
					rate, ODA will be able to assess compliance with its (insufficient) rules in approximately 1500 6th field				
					HUC watersheds containing agricultural land uses statewide in 250 years. This is not a reasonable				
376				78-H	timeframe to ensure compliance with the rules.		3 Ag - general		
370				7011	ODA plans to rely on voluntary actions by landowners described in its unenforceable Area Plans to bridge	۵	7/g general		
					this performance gap between the rules and meeting water quality standards. However, ODA does not				
					have an implementation plan to ensure these voluntary actions occur. Oregon has not quantified the				
	Oregon Environmental				level of additional landowner actions, or their nature, necessary to bridge this gap between compliance				
377	Council	organization	3/20/14	78-I	with the rules and achieving TMDL Load Allocations.		4 Ag - general		
377	Council	Organization	3/20/14	701		2, 11			
					The AWQMP (and AWQMA Rules) meets and exceeds the federal statutory and regulatory requirements		IAg - General: Ag MMs (pp. 1		
				71-A	of CZARA	13,	111-14): Ag - Pesticides		
378					UI CZANA	14	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	1	
370					Agriculture land use represents approximately 5% of the land uses within the coastal zone. The primary	14			
				71-B	agricultural land use within the coastal zone is pasture/hay agriculture, not crop land, which minimizes	2	Ag - General		
379				71-0	WQ impacts.		Ag - General		
373					Most, if not all, agriculture landowners are in compliance with the AWQMP rules and, by complying with	,			
					these rules, meet or exceed CZARA requirements applicable to agriculture. And, as explained below, for	'			
				71-C	any agriculture landowners that are not in compliance with the AWQMP, the State has a process in place	2	Ag - General		
380					to achieve compliance with voluntary and regulatory programs.				
300					CZARA only requires implementation of economically achievable MMs ("economically achievable				
					measures for the control of the addition of pollutants from existing and new categories and classes of				
				71-D	nonpoint sources of pollution, which reflect the greatest degree of pollutant reduction achievable	3	General		
				715	through the application of the best available nonpoint pollution control practices, technologies,		General		
381					processes, siting criteria, operating methods, or other alternatives.")				
30-									
					Notes the same arguments as OFIC RE: CCAs/add MM are developed by specific state-driven process. OR	R			
				71-E	has not designated critical coastal areas or identified new agriculture land uses or a substantial	3,4	Add'l MMs not needed		
				,	expansion of existing agriculture land uses that require additional management measures. Therefore,	, .			
382					additional management measures for agriculture are unnecessary for CNPCP approval.				
					NOAA/EPA don't provide scientific data or substantial evidence that identifies agriculture land uses as a				
					cause or significant contributor to water quality impairment in Oregon's coastal streams. There is no				
					sound scientific evidence to demonstrate that agriculture lands within the coastal zone in fact cause or				
				71-F	significantly contributing to water quality degradation. ODA is required to regulate, based on science,	4			
					those agriculture activities that are causing the type of water pollution that prohibits the State from				
383					achieving and maintaining water quality standards.				
					As explained in Section III, ODA has the enforcement authority necessary to ensure compliance with				
					watershed basin rules on the coast and throughout the State of Oregon. While opponents of the				
				71-G	AWQMP highlight the fact that ODA has only taken a few enforcement actions, implying that ODA is not		Ag - EP&Ms		
					requiring compliance, nothing could be farther from the truth. The truth is that ODA works directly with				
384					land owners in noncompliance to make certain land use changes before enforcement is necessary.				
J0 4									

	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н І	J	K	L	М	N
						Nowhere does CZARA or Section 6217(g) unconditionally require: (1) riparian buffers on agriculture land, (2) that landowners undertake efforts to restore lands to pre -agricultural uses and methods (removing							
						agriculture from the land), (3) management measures that will not result in a reduction of nonpoint		Ag - General; Ag - buffers;					
					71-H	source pollution, (4) new or ad hoc water quality standards for pesticides, sediment, or any other listed	6	Ag - Pesticides; Ag - Add'l					
								MMs					
205						pollutants, or (5) landowners to change land uses, implement management measures, or otherwise							
385						employ management measures that are not "economically achievable."							
						Only after the State identifies land uses that cause or significantly contribute to water quality							
						impairments, the state must then implement additional management measures if necessary to achieve		Ag - Add'l MMs (not					
					71-l	and maintain applicable water quality standards. For the reasons explained below, Oregon's AWQMP	7	needed)					
						meets and implements the 6217(g) requirements and has a process in place to implement additional		1.00001,					
386						management measures if necessary.							
						6217(g) "offer[s] State officials a number of options and permit them considerable flexibility in selecting							
						management measures that are appropriate for their State"20 Further, the 6217(g) guidance suggests							
						management measures but these are written to allow flexibility in implementation. 21 Contrary to		General - Holding to higher					
					71-J	claims by critics of the Oregon AWQMP, this means that EPA and NOAA can and must approve state	7	standard; General -					
								Problems with CZARA					
						programs that address water quality impairments from certain land uses even where they do not employ	Ý						
387						the precise management measures outlined in the 6217(g) guidance.							
						In areas where an area plan and rules are required, ODA may compel a landowner "to perform those							
						actions on the landowner's land necessary to prevent and control water pollution from agriculture							
					71-K	activities" so long as the practice is a factor in causing water quality standards to be exceeded." This	8	Ag - EP&Ms					
						provides ODA the authority to require management measures that meet the requirements of 6217(g) or		0 11					
388						impose additional management measures if necessary.							
300						Using the process of identifying agriculture practices that do in fact contribute to water quality problems							
						and investing in management measures proven to reduce or mitigate pollutant loadings, as well as	'						
					71-L	measures that are achievable because of cost and technology, the State can more efficiently allocate	0	Ag - General					
					/1-L		٥	Ag - General					
200						resources for the betterment of coastal waterways. This is precisely the outcome envisioned by the							
389						sponsors of the CZARA and is consistent with the statutory language.							
						The proposed agencies' finding references the coho salmon listings and draft recovery plan findings.							
						These documents' references to agriculture impacts to water quality are limited, based on opinion,							
					71-M	anecdotal evidence and are also unsupported by scientific fact or data. For that reason, we request that	9	Ag - General					
						the agencies remove this assumption or clearly explain that it is a concern that has not been verified							
390						with data or science, and therefor may not be a valid concern.							
						Oregon has developed water quality standards designed to protect designated uses, which in most cases	6						
						include coho salmon and other endangered/threatened fish species. As referenced above, Oregon's							
					71-N	AWQMA is designed to ensure agriculture activities do not inhibit the State from meeting those water	a	Ag - General					
					/ I IV	quality standards. Water quality standards are required to protect designated uses, fish. Therefore,		ng General					
						Oregon's program adequately addresses agriculture activities to ensure the protection of fish species,							
391						including coho salmon.							
					71-0	Most ambient water quality monitoring in region reporting fair to excellent water quality. Sites with poor	r _	Ag Conseil					
392					/1-0	condition are not due to ag activities.	9	Ag - General					
						The AWQMP Processes and Enforcement Mechanisms Satisfies CZARA and the 6217(g) Management							
						Measures Area Plans consist of voluntary measures and strategic goals; area rules implement the							
					74.5	Area Plans and are ODA's backstop authority to ensure compliance with the AWQMA Today, each of	4.0	A - FD0.44					
					71-P	Oregon's coastal agriculture water quality plans include management measures that directly reference	10	Ag - EP&Ms					
						the 6217(g) guidance and include additional goals for improving watersheds. These plans far exceed that	:						
393						which is required under CZARA.							
						While it is true that each state must have an enforceable, nonpoint source water pollution program, it is							
						not true that individual states must meet or exceed an enforcement threshold or number of citations							
						issued. Instead, CZARA requires that the State and its designated water quality agencies possess the							
					71-Q		14,15	Ag - General					
					/1-Q	requirements set forth in 16 U.S.C. 1455b. Furthermore, as ODA demonstrated to the agencies in	14,13	(Enforcement)					
204						Oregon's July 2013 CNPCP submission, it has used that authority to enforce AWQMP rules where							
394						necessary and appropriate.							
						Refutes concern noted that AWQMP do not require buffers or otherh specific requirments. Notes that		General - One-size-fits-all;					
					71-R	CZARA does not specifically require riparian buffers for ag and doing so, would be taking a "one-size-fits-	15	Ag - General					
395						all" approach that goes against the inherant flexibility CZARA provides states.		0 - 1					

	Λ D	<u> </u>	D	F	l e		Н	T	<u> </u>	l v	1 1	N4	NI I
	A B	C	D	E .	r	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	IN
396	Oregon Farm Bureau,			71-S	Biennial reviews of AWQMA plans provide a tracking mechanism. According to ODA, ~18 biennial reviews are conducted annually. In addition ODA is currently creating a more formalized process for tracking program implementation and effectiveness – known as the Strategic Implementation Areas and Focus Areas processes. Also, in 2012, Oregon began an Enterprise Monitoring Initiative to maximize statewide efforts for environmental protection and restoration. This initiative will monitor waterways that pass through agriculture lands and can also be used to inform the effectiveness of the AWQMA.	16	Ag -	General (tracking)					
397	Oregon Cattlemen's Association, Oregonians for Food and Shelter, Oregon Seed Commission, Oregon Dairy Farmers Association, Oregon Wheat Growers League		3/20/14	71-T	NOAA/EPA assert: AWQMA planning and enforcement does not address "legacy" issues created by agriculture activities that are no longer occurring. Yet, neither CZARA nor the 6217(g) guidance define legacy issues or require that state CNPCPs address legacy issues. Nevertheless, OWEB invests \$ to address legacy ag issues. Furthermore, Oregon has developed processes for identifying opportunities to enhance and restore watersheds, including "legacy" issues, through the Oregon Plan for Salmon and Watersheds, the Oregon Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Guide, OWEB riparian restoration projects, Area Plans, and many other federal, public and private partnerships. These programs are successful due to the voluntary efforts of many Oregon agriculture landowners.	17	Ag -	Legacy	unkn				
398				72-A	Member of the Upper Willamette & Upper Siuslaw Agricultural Water Quality Management Area Local Advisory Committees. Met annually since then with our state and local officials, the Oregon Department of Agriculture, the Department of Environmental Quality(DEQ), and East Lane (county) Soil and Water Conservation District to be advised on the current status of the management plan. The committee was instructed that our plan would be complaint driven, and compliance voluntary. I have been informed that three fines have been imposed over the last 11 years. We were also told we were not allowed to consider pesticides as a pollutant. The state still does not consider pesticides as pollutants, but considers streamside plantings to be sufficient to filter anything including pesticides. I am told they do not test the water for pesticides.		_	General; Ag - ticides				1	
399				72-B	EPA & NOAA have found that Oregon forests have adequate stream buffers for pesticides on salmon bearing streams. How was this determined? Seasonal and non-fish bearing streams have not been considered. Isn't this the water that feeds the fish-bearing streams and rivers? Stream buffers and logging practices in this state are a jokea sad joke. Commenter has not been provided any assurance or evidence from state and local officials that they	1		estry - Pesticides; estry - Riparian					
400	(b) (6)	citizen	3/20/14	72-C	intend to meet the requirements regarding credible management practices for determining and enforcing required water quality standards.	1		estry - Pesticides; estry - Riparian	unkn				
				73-A	Use data to uniformly establish, prioritize, and track programmatic progress towards water quality goals. Need better effectiveness monitoring to be able to make adapative changes as needed to voluntary and other programs. Cites ag, in particular. Need better science to inform implementation targets and determine how well programs are working. (Ex. TFT's recent use of LiDAR to determine ability of buffers to produce adequate shade). Moving forward with new Ag regs without first understanding the gap between the problem and current conditions and without data-based benchmarks for chipping away at	1 2		nitoring - rovements needed; Ag eral					
402					the problem will only perpetuate issues moving forward. Focus on outcomes and support the tools that achieve progress on the ground. The loss of approximately \$4 million per year in funding for on-the-ground restoration runs wholly counter to what all agree is needed on the ground. NWEA's claim that CZARA needs to be achieving WQS now is not correct. CZARA obligations may not		imp		against	1			
404	Tillamook Bay Watershed Council	organization	3/20/14	73-C 73-D	currently require controls, but instead contemplate future actions. Requests that NOAA/EPA include TFT's 4/22/13 response to NWEA's March 13, 2013 to EPA Regarding Medford Permits to record. TFT's letter corrects factual and legal inaccuracies in NWEA's letter. Also should include TFT's 9/27/13 public comments to Oregon DEQ on Wilsonville's now-withdrawn water quality trading program as section III(C)(4)(d) of the Proposed Finding.	4, 5 5, 6	Gen Gen	eral - Public comment					
405		3.8424.071	5, 25, 11	75-A	Suport disapproval (relunctantly)	1			for		1		
406					Ecological function of the Oregon Coast Range and Cascade Range Foothills has been and continues to be severely degraded by the harvest activities associated with industrial, clear-cut logging. Look in any direction and clear cuts abound. (Up to 120 acres are allowed by the OFPA!)	1	Fore	estry - Clear cuts			-		
407				75-C	Concerned about lack of riparian buffers in clear cuts and spraying.	1	Fore	estry - Riparian; estry - Clear Cuts; estry - Pesticides					

	Δ R	C	D	F		G	Н	т	1 1	V		М	NI
	А	C	D		<u> </u>	G	П	1	,	N.	<u> </u>	IVI	IN
					Inspected recent road failure: The down hill shoulder of this mid-slope sited road had broken away in								
					several locations, due to fill slope failure. Mud and debris flows, some recent, were much in evidence,			Forestry - Clear cuts;					
				7F D	their effect on the watershed some two or three hundred feet below, clearly discernible. This	2	First hand asserted	•					
				75-D	phenomenon, obviously the result of heavy rain fall on deforested and very steep slopes, has repeated	2	First-hand account	Forestry Landslides;					
					itself with regularity over the years I have been roaming these hills. It is a disgrace and impacts directly			Forestry - Roads					
					on water quality. The cost to repair the failure will be borne by U.S. taxpayers through BLM & FHA.								
408													
					Notes changes in tax law favor private timber industry and don't recoop enough \$ to help local govn't.								
				75-E	Amounts to shameless taxpayer-funded PR propaganda for timber interests. Illustration of "deliberate	2		Forestry - General					
				,5 L	lack of political will to fund the appropriate agencies and activities that are crucial to improving Oregon's	_		Torestry General					
409					degraded water quality.								
					Points out that "NOAA noted in its fairly recent opinion about potential ESA delisting of the Coastal Coho			Forestry - General;					
				75-F	Salmon, the benefits of such riparian restorations, although worthwhile, were being rapidly outstripped	3		Forestry - Riparian					
410					by the effects of logging in the uplands. Nothing has changed."			Forestry - Riparian					
	Umpqua Watersheds,			75.0	Recognizes that disapproval will have finanical consequences for 319 that their organization and others	2		Forestry - General;					
411	Inc.	organization	3/20/14	75-G	benefit from but its time for state to do something.	3		Penalties - Benefits					
					Notes that farmers and ranchers have installed many miles or piping for livestock watering, and many								
412				81-A	miles of streambank are planted and fenced	1		Ag-general; Ag-buffers;	Against	1			
					'		Existing programs	00 , 0 ,					
413				81-B	Pesticide Stewardship Programs, CAFO, and AQWMP already in place.	1	. sufficient	Ag-general; Ag-pesticides;	:				
								General-made	*				
								improvements in water					
414				81-C	SWCDs and watershed councils are improving water quality in Oregon.	1		quality					
415	(b) (6)	citizen	3/17/14		Oregon complies with CZARA and disapproval would make it difficult to improve environment	1	•	Decision					
413		Citizen	3/17/14	81-D	oregon compiles with CZANA and disapproval would make it dirricult to improve environment		-	Additional MMs Not					
416				70.4	Disagrans with proposed decision Additional MMAs for forestry are not peopled	1			Against	1			
416 417					Disagrees with proposed decision. Additional MMs for forestry are not needed.		-	Needed	Against	1			
417				79-B	Supports OFIC letter and statements they make		•	Forestry General					
					OFPA includes a specific mandate to the Board of Forestry to achieve and maintain water quality								
					standards, and provides the Oregon Department of Forestry with enforcement authority. The EPA and								
					NOAA have produced little meaningful evidence that Oregon's forest practices rules currently fail to								
					meet these water quality and beneficial use objectives. To the contrary, there is a large body of science								
					indicating that modern Oregon forest practices are either neutral to positive in terms of their effect on								
418				79-C	aquatic life	2		Forestry General					
					Oregon's forest sector has a 15-plus year history of superior voluntary riparian watershed enhancement								
					accomplishments. Restrictions/actions proposed by the EPA and NOAA would stifle these valuable								
					watershed improvements. Additionally, the excessive restrictions envisioned by EPA and NOAA would								
419					unintentionally smother the willing cooperative stewardship ethic common in the forest sector.	2		Forestry - Riparian					
					EPA and NOAA's intended rigid, regulatory norms—such as excessive one-size-fits-all singular								
					distances—would stifle Oregon forest community's stewardship ethic, and thereby reduce/or end the								
	Associated Oregon				valuable contemporary investments in watershed enhancement experienced on Oregon forestlands								
420	Loggers, Inc.	organization	3/21/14	79-E	(since the 1998 advent of the Oregon Plan for Salmon & Watersheds)	3	3	General - one-size-fits all					
\top					Oregon's existing land use planning system put in place by the 1973 Oregon Legislature as Senate Bill								
					100 is an effective nonpoint source pollution reduction program, and the State should be given credit			General-made					
					for its success. It limits new development in urban growth boundaries where sewer and stormwater			improvements to water					
421				80-A	services are planned for.	1	Land Use	quality	unclear			1	
					The first of three concerns is DEQ's proposed New Development Guidance for Urban and Rural								
					Residential Areas. DEQ proposal to require all identified Designated Management Agencies (DMAs) to								
					develop a more rigorous stormwater control program than is currently required of existing MS4								
					Phase II permittees (e.g., Corvallis, Bend, Medford) is not realistic or workable. The Coastal Zone listed								
					communities, many of which are very small with extremely limited resources, cannot be expected to								
422				80-B	implement stormwater retrofit, hydromodification, and riparian protection/restoration programs.	2		New Devel					
					, and the state of			7.0.1. 20701					
					DEQ should consider expanding the coverage of the existing 1200C permit by lowering the acreage								
					applicability, or using a similar approach as used in the 1200COLS permit. The 1200COLS permit was								
					created to tackle water quality problems in the Columbia Slough and is a global discharge permit based		expand existing permit						
423				80-C	on the 1200Z industrial permits and applied to all significant dischargers evaluated in the TMDL process.		coverage	New Devel					
423				00-C	For sediment problems, DEQ should consider increased technical assistance and compliance and		expand existing permit						
124				80-D	enforcement of the 1200Z industrial permits.	า							
424				מ-טס	emorcement of the 12002 industrial perfilits.		coverage	New Devel					

	Δ Β	C	D	F	F G	Н	T T	<u> </u>	К	1	М	N
	Λ	C	D		DEQ should use its existing authority, expertise and permits more effectively instead of establishing a	11	1	,	, i		141	11
					new regulatory requirement on small cities and counties that are not the main source of impairment, do							
425					not have the expertise, and cannot afford additional state-mandated programs.	2	New Devel					
723					The second of three concerns for NPS controls in Oregon's coastal zone is the need for improved	2	New Devel					
					compliance programs and metrics to monitor agricultural sources. An overall compliance strategy for							
					ensuring that AWQM plans and rules are adequately implemented to effectively meet TMDL load							
					allocations and water quality standards is needed. There must be a policy and process for proactive							
					determination of the implementation of required elements of the Agriculture Water Quality	Ag compliance and						
426						3 implementation	Ag-general					
					Oregon Department of Agriculture and Oregon DEQ's water quality monitoring programs should be							
					specifically designed to evaluate the effectiveness of the agricultural area plans in meeting water quality		Ag-general; Monitoring-					
427						3 Ag monitoring	improvements needed					
					The SB 1010 process at the Department of Agriculture should be directly linked to the Oregon adopted							
428				80-H	TMDL for the river or stream stretch.	3 Ag implementation	Ag-general					
					SB 1010 requirements stop short of addressing 'legacy' conditions related to agricultural activities, and							
					do not require active restoration only removal of conditions that impairs	Ag implementation for	Ag-general; general-need					
429				80-I	restoration. These policy gaps must be addressed if Oregon is to meet its water quality standards.	3 legacy	to consider other issues					
					The third of three concerns is the continued efforts to link the Oregon Forest Practices Act to water	.						
					quality standards outcomes. They applaud the recent collaboration between the Oregon EQC and BOF to							
					improvement communication and share data related to water quality compliance of the Oregon FPA and	Pro-FPA compliance						
430				80-J		4 with WQS	Forestry-general					
730	Oregon Association of			20-J	to anacistana now i i A can be used as a tool to ineed ofegon was.	- WILLI WVQJ	Forestry-general;					
	_						Monitoring-improvement					
421	Clean Water Agencies,			00.14		4						
431	Legaue of Oregon				Efforts by ODF to monitor and improve forest practices should be encouraged and continued.	4	needed					
	Cities, Special Districts				Additional efforts are needed to address legacy road conditions and protection of non-fish bearing		Forestry-roads; Forestry-					
432	Association of Oregon	organization	3/21/14		streams in oregon's forests.	4	riparian					
					Asks NOAA/EPA to give state additional time to meet remaining conditions; state has already made good							
433					progress in meeting most of conditions.	1	General-need more time		1			
					Notes ODF has been doing good work to improve WQ, riparian habitat, and road improvements. Cites # 1 and	t l	Forestry-general; Forestry	-				
434				82-B	of culverts replaced and other stats.		riparian; Forestry-roads					
					Cites ODFW study that showed many out-migrating and returning salmon to Tillamook State forest land.	more returning salmon						
435				82-C	OR allows salmon harvest because #s are good.	2 in Tillamook forest	General-salmon;					
					Asks NOAA/EPA to review Trask Study re: forestry practices and water quality that presents factual		Forestry-general; General	-				
436					science. Our decision should be based on science.	2	water quality					
					Notes they have been part of group of federal, state, county and private citizen group that's been		General-salmon; General					
					working to collaborative restore fish pass in Tillamook area. Taking a novel approach and having good		made improvements in					
437					success.	2	water quality					
	Tillamook Board of				Understand and appreciate NOAA/EPA efforts to comply with the law but ask that agencies work with		Penalties-negative					
438	Commissions	organization	3/21/14		them and others in collaborate way to address issues rather than take punitive action.	3	impacts; Decision	Against				
730	COMMISSIONS	OIBAIIIZALIOII	J/ 41/ 14	J2 1	anem and others in conductate way to address issues rather than take pulltive action.		Toxics/Pesticides; climate	Agailist				
420				50-A	Water shortages and toxins are big concerns as we enter "climate chaos".	1						
439				JU-A	Very concerned about pesticide spraying on private forestsimpacts humans, animals and organic	<u>+</u>	change					
140	(b) (6)	oiti	2/20/44	EO D		1	Forestry posticides	Linclose			4	
440	(0)	citizen	3/20/14	50-B	farming.	1	Forestry-pesticides	Unclear			1	•
					Support disapproval. There has been little progress on the development of Best Management Practices	4	D			-		
441					in order to meet the requirements of the CZARA.	1	Decision-benefit			1		
					Oregon does not have a program in place to deal with nonpoint source pollution in its coastal							
442				83-B	watersheds that is sufficient to carry out the CZARA management measures	1	General					
							Salmon-need more					
					Water quality standards in coastal watersheds fail to protect Oregon's native fishes including; Coho and		protection; General-fails to	o				
443						1 WQS	meet WQS/uses					
				-	DEQ is not protecting our waters sufficiently to ensure our fish are free from toxic contamination, and		Salmon-need more					
444						1 Toxics affecting fish	protection					
					ODF and ODA's pesticide use programs fail to control polluted runoff from logging, in Type N streams,	-	Ag-pesticides; Ag-buffers;					
445					and cattle operations.	1	Ag-general					
446					Riparian buffers are insufficient to protect water quality.	1	Ag-buffers					
				30 .	p		Ag-general; general-need					
447				83-G	SB1010s are inadequate to protect water quality or improve habitat conditions.	1	to improve water quality					
77/					The logging of unstable slopes and Type N stream created polluted runoff and the existing logging road	-	forestry-riparian; forestry					
110						1						
448					network is also source of sediment.	1	landslides; forestry-roads					
449				83-I	Older septic systems create NPS.	1	OSDS; forestry-general		1		1	1

	Δ Β	<u> </u>	П	F		Б Н	т		V	1 1	М	l N
	АВ	C	D	E	F G	э Н	1	J	K	L	IVI	IN
							General-voluntary					
					Voluntary efforts to protect water quality and habitat have been dwarfed by the lack of rules to protect		approaches; General-need					
450				83-J	water quality.	2	to improve water quality					
100					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Forestry-general; Ag-					
451				83-K	No rules in place to protect ecological function and processes on industrial timber or agricultural lands	2	general					
100							Forestry-buffers; Ag-					
							buffers; General-water					
					Do not believe that Oregon has in place a program to adequately protect riparian zones that are critical		quality; Salmon-need more					
452				83-L		2	protection					
					Watershed council completed a herbicide monitoring program found runoff from all sources of		General-need to improve					
	Audubon Society of				applications – road side use, and agricultural and forestry operation. While they may have applied it		water quality; forestry-					
453	Portland	organization	3/19/14	83-M	correctly there was still run-off and the rules were ineffective to truly protect water quality	2	pesticides; ag-pesticides					
					OAN worked to develop AWQMA and plans and believes ODA/DEQ are coordinating well to ensure							
					continued integrity of the AWQMP and the resultant Area Plans which provide the state with the tools							
454				84-A	and an inherent adaptive approach to properly address non-point source pollution.	2 SB1010 works	Ag-general		1			
					Believes the state has 1) programs in place to meet ag conditions, and 2) ensures wqs/uses are being							
455				84-B	met.	3	Ag-general					
					25% of CNP is ag land, but less than 1% is in use other than pasture or hay. Therefore, there is little							
456				84-C	opportunity for soil disturbance or nutrient loading from traditional row crop fertilizers.	3	Ag-general					
					Under the AWQMP, ODA implements site-specific and site-capable controls to both resolve existing							
					sources and prevent future opportunities for pollution. Such an approach is reflected in the Area Plans							
457				84-D	today	3	Ag-general					
						CZARA approval relies						
						not on specific						
					The focus of CZARA is not the use of specific measures identified in the 6217(g) guidance, but rather the	measures, but design						
					design and implementation of appropriate measures – regardless of form - that can be developed and	and implementation of	General-problems with					
458				84-E	applied to ultimately achieve measurable beneficial results.	3 appropriate measures	CZARA					
					Congress specifically required that such measures could only be implemented so long as they are		General-problems with					
459				84-F	"economically achievable."	4 CZARA guidance	CZARA					
					NOAA/EPA didn't provide any proof for allegation that water quality impairments from ag are							
					"widespread"only pointed to NMFS recent listings for Coho salmon and draft recovery plans but							
					neither of these documents appear to support such a conclusion and certainly not one which would							
					characterize agricultural activities as presenting concerns of "widespread" impairment. NMFS reports do		Ag-general; General-					
460				84-G	not specify specific land use as a culprit for need for rip. buffers.	4	salmon;					
					Does not agree with allegation that AWQMA enforcement is weak. Notes that AWQMPs lay out porcess							
					for which enforcement actions are taken. Any reduction or withdraw of Section 319 funds will only serve							
461				84-H	to diminish ODA's abilities to take enforcement action, not increase them	5 ag enforcement	Ag- general					
					Refutes claim that AWQMPs are too vague and do not include specific BMP requirements. Neither 5 are							
462				84-I	CZARA nor the 6217(g) guidance prescribes the AWQMP's adoption of specific management measures. 6	AWQMPs	Ag-general					
					Disagrees with allegation that AWQMP are only focused on impaired areas. Actions and WQS developed		Ag-general; general-water					
463				84-J	for impairments can be the goalpost for restoration and protection.	6	quality					
					Disagrees with allegation that AWQMPs are not addressing legacy issues. Nothing within CZARA							
					indicates Congress ever intended that the States consider "legacy" issues nor is there any requirement to							
464				84-K	address such issues under the 6217(g) guidance	6 Legacy	Ag-general					
					We believe that the continued successful implementation of the program must rely on local							
	_				management experiences, both currently and in the future, which will inform how to craft the most							
	Oregon Assoc. of		0/20/::	04:	appropriate regulatory standards. This process of creating ever improving standards of course will come	7 6 4						
465	Nurseries	organization	3/20/14	84-L	from the existing adaptive management, outcome-based approach within each of the Area Plans.	7 Adaptive mgmt	General					
466				85-A	Support disapproval	1	Decision Constant foliate most			1		
467				05.5	Concerns with water quality toxics defended in and fish arise health	1	General - fails to meet					
467				85-B	Concerne with water quality, toxics, deforestation and fisheries health	1	wqs/uses					
460				05.0	FPA, Right to Forest and Pesticide Pre-emption laws have led to water quality impairments/poisoning in	1	Forestry- General; Forestry					
468				85-C	Rogue/Umpqua.	1	pesticides					
					Coastal watersheds are impaired due to state govn't corruption and control by forest and chemical							
460				05.5	industry. Cites 2 examples of how EPA has gotten involved with two problems in OR (OR Health	2	Forester					
469 470	(b) (6)		2/20/4 4		Authority's Hwy 36 investigation and Curry County airial spraying poisoning)	2	Forestry - pesticides					
4/0	(5) (5)	citizen	3/20/14	85-E	Supports Beyond Toxics Comments.	۷	Forestry - pesticides					

Program pulsariane interests the student interruption. The states interruption and part of the control additional profession and profession and part of the control additional profession and part of the control additional profession and profession and part of the control additional profession and profession and part of the control additional professional profession and part of the control additional professional	Δ	R	C	D	F	Ę.	G H	ī	1	K	1 1	М	N
274 September		<u> </u>	C	Ь		Program guidance mirrors the statute in requiring theat states demonstrate the use of additional	<u> </u>	General need to consider		K	L	IVI	IN
The Faderial Agencies repeat the implementation of both the management measures and additional specified of time. The Faderial Agencies repeat to consider of time. The Faderial Agencies repeat to consider of time. The Faderial Agencies repeat to the implementation of both the management measures and additional specified of time. The Faderial Agencies repeat to the composition of time. The Faderial Agencies repeat to the composition of time. The Faderial Agencies repeat to the composition of time. The Faderial Agencies repeat to the composition of time. The Faderial Agencies repeat to the composition of time. The Faderial Agencies repeat to the composition of time. The Faderial Agencies repeat to consider of time. The Faderial Agencies repeat to the composition of time. The Faderial Agencies repeat to consider of time. The Faderial Agencies repeat to consider of time. The Faderial Agencies repeat to consider of the Composition of the Co	471		organization		57-B		7		_		1		
27. Interest practices program interceptive designated beautiful uses. 28. Including excerpts from landings 13, 1998, 1974. In Product of Section 2014. Interest part of Section 2014. In			0						•				
Indicating excerpts from Indicating excerpts	472				57-C		8						
January 13, 1298, EPA and ricks, Principes for the Oregon constal Numerical Program. Internet decision of Compon has repeatedly solvulitied a contain proposity program that EPA and NOA have repeatedly refused to approve, in large part because it did not include adequate regulation of forest practices in the 37.0 form of additional management resources. 175							including excerpts from						
and NOAN, Findings for the Organic scalar Novapoint Program. Secretary from 2004 International Control Programs of September 2007/30/concents. Control of Control of September 2007/30/concents. Control of Contro													
the Cregon Costal Nonpolin Program. Excepts from 2004 interminedation document and 2004 registed to approve, in large part because it off not include adequate regulation of forest practices in the forest baumagnetist of the control of additional management measures. 475 Great of additional management measures of additional management measures. 476 Great of additional management measures. 477 Great of additional management measures. 478 Great of additional management measures. 479 Great of additional management measures. 470 Great of additional management measures. 470 Great of additional management measures. 471 Great of additional management measures. 472 Great of additional management measures. 473 Great of additional management measures. 474 Great of additional management measures. 475 Great of additional management measures. 476 Great of additional management measures. 477 Great of additional management measures. 478 Great of additional management measures. 479 Great of additional management measures. 470 Great of additional management measures. 471 Great of additional management measures. 472 Great of additional management measures. 473 Great of additional management measures. 474 Great of additional management measures. 475 Great of additional management measures. 476 Great of additional management measures. 477 Great of additional management measures. 478 Great of additional management measures. 479 Great of additional management measures. 479 Great of additional management measures. 470 Great of additional management measures. 470 Great of additional management measures. 470 Great of additional management measures. 471 Great of additional management measures. 472 Great of additional management measures. 473 Great of additional management measures. 474 Great of additional management measures. 475 Great of additional management measures. 476 Great of additional management measures. 477 Great of a							and NOAA, Findings for						
Oregon has repeatedly submitted a coastal nonpoint program that EPA and NOAA have repeatedly received to approve, in large part because it did not include adequate regulation of forest practices in the program that EPA and NOAA have repeatedly programs to the program to the p							_						
Oregon has repeatedly submitted a coastal nonpoint program that EPA and NOAA have repeatedly received to approve, in large part because risd not include adequate regulation of forest practices in the standard of the program of the							Nonpoint Program.						
document and 2008 response to Oregon's response to Oregon's response to Oregon's 2007/3/coursents. Citation of september 1, 20, 2006 email to 1, 2006 email to							Excerpts from 2004						
resused to approve, in large part because it did not include adequate regulation of torest practices in the form of additional management measures. 70 Poly ageres with EPA and NOAA flower pepastedly refused to approve, in large part because it did not include adequate regulation of torest practices in the form of additional management measures. 70 Poll spaces with EPA and NOAA findings that Oregon has failed to develop and implement additional management measures for fores measures for the measures for fores management measures for fores measures fores measures fores measures fores measures fores fores measures fores measures fores fores management and fores measures fores fores fores management and fores management and fores measures fores fores fores management and fores measures fores fores fores management and fores measures fores fores fores management and fores management and fores fores fores ma							interim decision						
Oregon has repeatedly submitted a coastal nonpoint program that EPA and NOAA have repeatedly refused to approve, in large part because it did not include adequate regulation of forest practices in the form of additional management measures. Fully agrees with PEA and NOAA finings that Dregon has failed to develop and implement additional from Amanda Punton. 57-6 Imagement measures for foresty and so has failed to submit an approvable program under CZARA. Oregon's voluntary and regulatory forest practices programs do not sufficiently protect water quality or designated beneficial uses. Oregon's voluntary and regulatory forest practices programs improperly equates compliance with forest practices regulations with compliance with water quality standards. ODEC has failed to use its authority to override ODF's inadequate forest practices in order to bring concentration of Christopher A. Friskell, Ph.D. submitted in support of letter and incorporated by concentrated by Effectiveness of the overall system of riparian management zones in maintaining sufficiently low turbidity is diminished at a waterched scale due to inadequate buffers in headwater basins. Forestry – figarian; Forestry – General; Forestry – Ge							document and 2008						
Oregon has repeatedly submitted a coastal nonpoint program that EPA and NOAA have repeatedly retired to approve, in large part because it did not include adequate regulation of forest practices in the form of additional management measures. 57-D form of additional management measures. Fully agrees with EPA and NOAA findings that Oregon has failed to develop and implement additional. 57-E management measures for foresay and so has failed and to approache program under CZAA. 12 Oregon's voluntary and regulatory forest practices programs do not sufficiently protect water quality or designated beneficial uses. 57-B designated beneficial uses. 57-B designated beneficial uses. Oregon's forest practices program improperly equates compliance with forest practices regulations with compliance with water quality standards. ODFQ has failed to use its authority to override ODFs inadequate forest practices in order to bring. Compliance with water quality standards. ODFQ has failed to use its authority to override ODFs inadequate forest practices in order to bring. Compliance with water quality standards. ODFQ has failed to use its authority to override ODFs inadequate forest practices in order to bring. Compliance with water quality standards. ODFQ has failed to use its authority to override ODFs inadequate forest practices in order to bring. Compliance with water quality standards. The position of September and Science of Porestry—General Comparisons to State of Comp							response to Oregon's						
Oregon has repeatedly submitted a coastal nonpoint program that EPA and NOAA have repeatedly refused to approve, in large aporthecause it did not include adequate regulation of forest practices in the 57-9 branch and papers. Forestry proparity proparity program than EPA and NOAA have repeatedly promited and provided adequate regulation of forest practices in the 57-8 branch and provided and													
Frestry — landsides; 57-0 from of additional management measures; 57-1 from additional management measures; 57-2 from additional management measures; 57-1 from additional management measures; 57-2 from additional management measures; 57-3 from additional management measures; 57-4 from additional management measures; 57-5 from additional management measures; 57-6 from additional management measures; 57-7 from additional management measures; 57-8 from additional management measures; 57-9 from Amanda Punton. 57-1 from additional management measures; 57-9 from Amanda Punton. 57-1 from additional management measures; 57-2 from additional management measures; 57-3 from additional management measures; 57-4 from additional management measures							Citation of September	Forestry General;					
37-D form of additional management measures. 9 from Amanda Punton. Forestry - roads 1	1												
Fully agrees with EPA and NOAA findings that Oregon has failed to develop and implement additional page of the process of th							~	· ·					
57-E management measures for foresry and so has failed to submit an approvable program under CZARA. 12	473				57-D		9 from Amanda Punton.	Forestry roads					
Oregon's voluntary and regulatory forest practices programs do not sufficiently protect water quality or designated beneficial uses. 57-F Oregon's forest practices program improperly equates compliance with forest practices regulations with compliance with water quality standards. ODEQ has failed to use its authority to override ODF's inadequate forest practices in order to bring compliance with water quality standards. ODEQ has failed to use its authority to override ODF's inadequate forest practices in order to bring compliance with water quality standards. ODEQ has failed to use its authority to override ODF's inadequate forest practices in order to bring compliance with water quality standards. ODEQ has failed to use its authority to override ODF's inadequate forest practices in order to bring compliance with water quality standards. ODEQ has failed to use its authority to override ODF's inadequate forest practices in order to bring compliance with water quality standards. ODEQ has failed to use its authority to override ODF's inadequate forest practices in order to bring compliance with water quality. Forestry — General water quality in practices in order to bring compliance with water quality standards. Forestry — General water quality. Monitoring might water quality standards. Forestry — General water quality. Monitoring with compliance water partices in order to bring compliance water partices in order to bring compliance water quality. Forestry — Forestry — General water partices in order to bring compliance water partices in order to bring compli													
Oregon's of orest practices programs do not sufficiently protect water quality or designated beneficial uses. Oregon's forest practices program improperly equates compliance with forest practices regulations with compliance with water quality standards. ODEQ has failed to use its authority to override ODF's inadequate forest practices in order to bring compliance with water quality standards. ODEQ has failed to use its authority to override ODF's inadequate forest practices in order to bring comparisons to State of Comparison	474				57-E	management measures for foresry and so has failed to submit an approvable program under CZARA.		Forestry General					
57-F designated beneficial uses. 12 527.510 Forestry - General General - water quality; Monitoring - improvements needed; Forestry - General General - water quality; Monitoring - improvements needed; General - water quality; Forestry - General Forestry - General - water quality; General - water quality; General - water quality; Forestry - General - water qual													
Oregon's forest practices program improperly equates compliance with forest practices regulations with 57-G Compliance with water quality standards. ODEQ has failed to use its authority to override ODF's inadequate forest practices in order to bring compliance with water quality standards The compliance with water quality standards ODEQ has failed to use its authority to override ODF's inadequate forest practices in order to bring compliance by the water quality standards ODEQ has failed to use its authority to override ODF's inadequate forest practices in order to bring compliance properties of the support of the support of the support of the support of letter and incorporated by forestry foeratal; Forestry foeratal; Forestry foeratal; Forestry foestry foeratal; Forestry foestry foestry foeratal; Forestry foestry													
Oregon's forest practices program improperly equates compliance with forest practices regulations with 57-G compliance with water quality standards. ODEQ has failed to use its authority to override ODF's inadequate forest practices in order to bring compliance with water quality standards ODEQ has failed to use its authority to override ODF's inadequate forest practices in order to bring compliance with water quality; standards ODEQ has failed to use its authority to override ODF's inadequate forest practices in order to bring compliance with water quality; standards ODEQ has failed to use its authority to override ODF's inadequate forest practices in order to bring compliance with water quality; standards ODEQ has failed to use its authority to override ODF's inadequate forest practices in order to bring comparisons to State of General — water quality; standards Torestry — General — water quality; something of Compliance with water quality; proventy — General — Forestry — General — Forestry — General — Forestry — inadisides; Forestry	475				57-F	designated beneficial uses.	12 §527.610	-					
Oregon's forest practices program improperly equates compliance with forest practices regulations with compliance with water quality standards. ODEQ has failed to use its authority to override ODF's inadequate forest practices in order to bring compliance with water quality standards ODEQ has failed to use its authority to override ODF's inadequate forest practices in order to bring compliance with water quality standards ODEC has failed to use its authority to override ODF's inadequate forest practices in order to bring compliance with water quality standards ODEC has failed to use its authority to override ODF's inadequate forest practices in order to bring compliance with water quality. Forestry — General water for Christopher A. Frissell, Ph.D. submitted in support of letter and incorporated by Forestry — General; Forestry — Individues; Forestry — Indiv													
S7-G Compliance with water quality standards. 13 ORS \$527.770 Forestry General								_					
ODEQ has failed to use its authority to override ODF's inadequate forest practices in order to bring 57-H ODEQ has failed to use its authority to override ODF's inadequate forest practices in order to bring 57-H Compliance with water quality standards Declaration of Christopher A. Frissell, Ph.D. submitted in support of letter and incorporated by Forestry — General: Forestry —	176							-					
S7-H Compliance with water quality standards 13 Washington esp. HCP's Forestry - General Declaration of Christopher A. Frissell, Ph.D. submitted in support of letter and incorporated by Forestry - Inadisdices; Forestry - Inadisdices	4/6				5/-G	compliance with water quality standards.	13 ORS §527.770	Forestry General					
S7-H Compliance with water quality standards 13 Washington esp. HCP's Forestry - General Christopher A. Frissell, Ph.D. submitted in support of letter and incorporated by Forestry - riparian; Forestry - riparian; Forestry - roads						ODFO has failed to use its outbouits to assemble ODFIs in adequate forest musetices in audoute bring	Commonicant to State of	Canada water walitus					
Declaration of Christopher A. Frissell, Ph.D. submitted in support of letter and incorporated by Forestry riparian; Forestry riparian; Forestry roads for support of letter and incorporated by Forestry roads for support incorporated by Forestry	477				F7 11		•	-					
Christopher A. Frissell, Ph.D. submitted in support of letter and incorporated by Forestry - landslides; Forestry - roads Failure to protect water quality from impacts due to roads, buffers, and logging on steep/unstable slopes Forestry - roads Forestry - roads Forestry - roads Forestry - roads General - fails to meet way, uses, Forestry - roads Clearcutting riparian areas around streams increases the probability of debris flows and sediment All Bigrain buffers in Oregon's rules do not sufficiently prevent the warming of streams that accompanies loss of canopy cover, do not sufficiently filter nutrients and sediment from surface waters draining through riparian buffers, and do not protect streams from debris flows and landslides. Christopher A. Frissell, Ph.D. submitted in support of letter and incorporated; Forestry - riparian; Forestry - roads Forestry - roads General - fails to meet way, uses, Forestry - riparian Forestry - riparian; Forestry - riparian; South of a support of letter and incorporated by incorporat	4//			-	5/-П	compliance with water quality standards		Forestry General					
Ph.D. submitted in support of letter and incorporated by Forestry riparian; Forestry roads 57-I Failure to protect water quality from impacts due to roads, buffers, and logging on steep/unstable slopes 15 reference Forestry roads Effectiveness of the overall system of riparian management zones in maintaining sufficiently low turbidity is diminished at a watershed scale due to inadequate buffers in headwater basins. 17 Clearcutting riparian areas around streams increases the probability of debris flows and sediment delivery to streams due to the accumulation of debris. 18 Riparian buffers in Oregon's rules do not sufficiently filter nutrients and sediment from surface waters draining through riparian and on other protect streams from debris flows and landslides. 20 Forestry riparian; Forestry roads General fails to meet wqs/uses; Forestry riparian; Forestry riparian; Forestry riparian; Forestry roads 17 18 Forestry roads Forestry riparian; Forestry roads 18 Forestry riparian; Forestry roads 19 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 17 18 18 18 18 19 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10													
support of letter and incorporated by Forestry riparian; Forestry rands incorporated by Forestry roads Effectiveness of the overall system of riparian management zones in maintaining sufficiently low turbidity is diminished at a watershed scale due to inadequate buffers in headwater basins. Clearcutting riparian areas around streams increases the probability of debris flows and sediment delivery to streams due to the accumulation of debris. Riparian buffers in Oregon's rules do not sufficiently prevent the warming of streams that accompanies loss of canopy cover, do not sufficiently filter nutrients and sediment from surface waters draining 57-L through riparian buffers, and do not protect streams from debris flows and landslides. 20 Forestry riparian; Forestry riparian; Forestry riparian; Forestry clear cuts 20 49 Forestry riparian; Forestry riparian; Forestry riparian; Forestry clear cuts 20 49 Forestry riparian; Forestry ripa							T	Forestry Conoral:					
Failure to protect water quality from impacts due to roads, buffers, and logging on steep/unstable slopes Forestry landslides; Forestry roads General fails to meet wqs/uses; Forestry turbidity is diminished at a watershed scale due to inadequate buffers in headwater basins. Clearcutting riparian areas around streams increases the probability of debris flows and sediment Forestry iparian; Forestry riparian; Forestry riparian; Forestry clear cuts Riparian buffers in Oregon's rules do not sufficiently prevent the warming of streams that accompanies loss of canopy cover, do not sufficiently filter nutrients and sediment from surface waters draining 481 Forestry landslides; Forestry la													
Failure to protect water quality from impacts due to roads, buffers, and logging on steep/unstable slopes Forestry roads General fails to meet wqs/uses; Forestry riparian Clearcutting riparian areas around streams increases the probability of debris flows and sediment delivery to streams due to the accumulation of debris. Riparian buffers in Oregon's rules do not sufficiently prevent the warming of streams that accompanies loss of canopy cover, do not sufficiently filter nutrients and sediment from surface waters draining 481 Forestry riparian Forestry clear cuts Forestry riparian Forestry riparian 20 Forestry riparian													
Effectiveness of the overall system of riparian management zones in maintaining sufficiently low 479 57-J turbidity is diminished at a watershed scale due to inadequate buffers in headwater basins. Clearcutting riparian areas around streams increases the probability of debris flows and sediment delivery to streams due to the accumulation of debris. Riparian buffers in Oregon's rules do not sufficiently prevent the warming of streams that accompanies loss of canopy cover, do not sufficiently filter nutrients and sediment from surface waters draining through riparian buffers, and do not protect streams from debris flows and landslides. 20 Forestry riparian Forestry riparian 21 Forestry riparian	478				57-I	Failure to protect water quality from impacts due to roads, buffers, and logging on steen/unstable slones							
Effectiveness of the overall system of riparian management zones in maintaining sufficiently low 479 57-J turbidity is diminished at a watershed scale due to inadequate buffers in headwater basins. Clearcutting riparian areas around streams increases the probability of debris flows and sediment 480 Riparian buffers in Oregon's rules do not sufficiently prevent the warming of streams that accompanies loss of canopy cover, do not sufficiently filter nutrients and sediment from surface waters draining 57-L through riparian buffers, and do not protect streams from debris flows and landslides. 20 Forestry riparian Forestry riparian	· · ·			ŀ	J, 1	- 2.2. 2.12 process rate. quanty impacts due to rouds, surrers, und logging on steep, unstable slopes	25 . 3.3.61100						
479 57-J turbidity is diminished at a watershed scale due to inadequate buffers in headwater basins. 17 riparian Forestry riparian; 480 57-K delivery to streams due to the accumulation of debris. 18 Forestry clear cuts 20 49 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	1					Effectiveness of the overall system of riparian management zones in maintaining sufficiently low							
Clearcutting riparian areas around streams increases the probability of debris flows and sediment 57-K delivery to streams due to the accumulation of debris. Riparian buffers in Oregon's rules do not sufficiently prevent the warming of streams that accompanies loss of canopy cover, do not sufficiently filter nutrients and sediment from surface waters draining 57-L through riparian buffers, and do not protect streams from debris flows and landslides. 20 Forestry riparian Forestry riparian; Forestry riparian; Forestry riparian; 20 Forestry riparian	479				57-J		17						
480 57-K delivery to streams due to the accumulation of debris. 18 Forestry clear cuts 20 49 30 49 49 30 49 49 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40						·		•					
Riparian buffers in Oregon's rules do not sufficiently prevent the warming of streams that accompanies loss of canopy cover, do not sufficiently filter nutrients and sediment from surface waters draining 57-L through riparian buffers, and do not protect streams from debris flows and landslides. 20 Forestry riparian	480				57-K		18			20	49	15	84
loss of canopy cover, do not sufficiently filter nutrients and sediment from surface waters draining 57-L through riparian buffers, and do not protect streams from debris flows and landslides. 20 Forestry riparian				Ī				,					
loss of canopy cover, do not sufficiently filter nutrients and sediment from surface waters draining 57-L through riparian buffers, and do not protect streams from debris flows and landslides. 20 Forestry riparian						Riparian buffers in Oregon's rules do not sufficiently prevent the warming of streams that accompanies							
	481				57-L	through riparian buffers, and do not protect streams from debris flows and landslides.	20	Forestry riparian					
General fails to meet				Ī				General fails to meet					
wqs/uses; Forestry	1							wqs/uses; Forestry					
The science is overwhelming: Oregon's riparian buffer and steep slope loggigng rules are insufficient to riparian; Forestry	1					The science is overwhelming: Oregon's riparian buffer and steep slope loggigng rules are insufficient to		riparian; Forestry					
482 57-M protect water quality and all designated beneficial uses. 20 landslides	482				57-M		20	landslides					
The construction, use, maintenance, and existence of logging roads detrimentally affects stream health				Ī	-								
483 57-N and aquatic habitat by increasing sediment delivery and stream turbidity. 20 Forestry roads	483				57-N			Forestry roads					
Oregon's forest practices rules impose generic BMPs and do not use pertinent water quality data to drive			7]
road management decisions; in fact they are precisely the kinds of BMPs that have been shown to be General water quality;	1												
484 57-0 inadequate and ineffective at protecting water quality and beneficial uses. 22 Forestry roads	484				57-0	inadequate and ineffective at protecting water quality and beneficial uses.	22	Forestry roads					

-	 D	F	T F	G	Н	ī	ı	K	1	М	N
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			'	Ť	Oregon's rules do not	•	,			.,,	
					require ODF to						
					•						
					disapprove written						
					plans for the						
					construction of logging						
					roads that may result in						
			Oregon forest practices regulations applicable to forest roads consistently prioritize logging over		adverse water quality	General water quality;					
485		57-P	protection of water quality.	23	3 impacts.	Forestry roads					
			Oregon's road location rule does not require operators to eliminate or avoid water quality problems;		"minimizing risk" is not						
			rather, it simply requires them to minimize risk. EPA and NOAA cannot approve Oregon's CNPCP		the same as avoiding						
			component for forest roads simply based on rules that require operators to minimize the risk to waters		adverse water quality	General water quality;					
486		57-Q	of the state.	23-24	1 impacts	Forestry roads					
400		3, 4	of the state.	25 2	"avoid locating roads	rorestry rouds					
					_						
					on steep slopes, slide						
					areas, high landslide						
					hazard locations where						
					viable atlernatives						
					exist" and "make use of						
					existing roads where						
					practical." Who						
					decides what is practical						
			Oregon's forest road rules are so loaded with vague, ambiguous, precatory, and conditional language		or vialbele and what						
			that they can afford EPA and NOAA no rational basis for concluding that they ensure protection of water		criteria are used in the	Forestry landslides;					
487		57-R	quality and designated beneficial uses in Oregon's coastal areas.		4 analysis?	Forestry roads					
407		37-K	EPA and NOAA cannot rely on Oregon's enforcement authority where enforcement most likely only	2.	4 allalysis:	Torestry Toads					
			occurs after damage to water quality occurs. OAR 629-625 rules generally mean that so long as								
488		57-S	operators are not harming wter quality they are in complance with the rule.	24	4	Forestry General					
			Oregon's wet weather road use rule's purpose is "to reduce the delivery of ifine sediment to streams								
			caused by the use of forest roads during wet periods that may adversely affect downstream water								
			quaility in Type F or Type D streams," is designed to reduce delivery of fine sediment, but not esigned to								
489		57-T	elimate the elivery of fine sediment or to ensure that such delivery does not impair water quality.	2.	5 OAR-625-0700	Forestry roads					
			Oregon road rules lack a requirement to bring existing, inactive logging roads and other forest roads up								
			to a standard that effectiely prevents water quality problems. This resultes in many forest roads which								
			are not currently being used for logging falling through the regulatory cracks and continuing to have a								
490		57-U	negative impact on water quality.	20	6	Forestry roads					
130		3, 0	negative impact on water quanty.			General water quality;					
						Monitoring					
			Implementation of DMDs without reference to and monitoring of applicable water quality standards			_					
404		E7.1/	Implementation of BMPs without reference to and monitoring of applicable water quality standards	١ .	_	improvements needed;					
491		57-V	including the protection of designated beneficial uses is simply inadequate to protect Oregon streams.	2	/	Forestry General					
					Current FMP goals allow						
			Despite EPA's and NOAA's telling Oregon for over a decade that its forest practices programs are not		clear-cutting of roughly						
			sufficiently protecting water quality, and despite ample and relevant science demonstrating that clear-		an additional 100,000						
			cutting and other logging practices in Oregon generate nonpoint source pollution that harms water		acres above the goal in	Forestry General;					
492		57-W	quality, Oregon substantially increased the amount of clear-cutting allowed in North Coast state forests.	28	8 the previous FMP.	Forestry clear cuts					
			EPA and NOAA state that legacy effiects of agriculture (denuded riparian areas, damage to natural								
			stream morphology, eroding streambanks, etc) are not addressed though existing regulatory tools, but	:							
			have concluded tht agriculture plans are a regulatory mechanism to address past actions that are the								
493		57-X	primary cause of eroding streambanks.	34	4	Ag legacy; Ag EP& M's					
133		37 /	ODA's enforcement authority excludes most of Oregon's agricultural nonpoint source contributions,	<u> </u>	•	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1					
			particularly its contribution to temperature in Oregon's streams from lack of shade and from exces		_[A - C ! A - 55011					
494		57-Y	sedimentation.	3.	5	Ag -General; Ag EP&M's					
			Oregon has repeatedly relied on the TMDL program to purportedly demonstrate to the federal agencies								
			that it has a plan in place to control nonpoint source pollution in coastal watersheds. EPA cannot rely or	1							
			these assertions given Oregon's own failure to use the TMDL program to bring nonpoint sources into			General fails to meet					
495		57-Z	compliance with load allocations established in the TMDLs.	30	6	wqs/uses; Ag General					
	 		· ·	1	1						

Δ	B	C	П			G	Н	ī		κ T	1	М	N
	D		U U		DEQ has issued NPDES permits in the Rogue River Basin on the assumption that nonpoint sources will	G	П	1	,	IX	Ŀ	141	IN
					contribute zero heat load, but made a completely contrary assumption when it allwoed the City of								
					Medford to plant trees on agricultural lands in lieu of directly reducing the thermal load in its discharge.								
					This contrary assumption undermines any suggestion that Oregon relies on the load allocations								
					established for nonpoint sources in its temperature TMDLs to protect riparian vegation sufficient to			General fails to meet					
106				F7 AA		1							
496			_	57-AA	meet water quality standards.	3		wqs/uses; Ag General					
							ODA findings for coastal						
					Approvable state programs are required to assess over time the success of the management measures in	ון	watersheds						
					reducing pollution loads and improving water quality. Because it has not identified the practices that		(Coos/Coquille,						
					constitute Oregon's version of meeting management measures, it would be impossible for the state to		MidCoast, North Coast,						
					ascertain whether the managment meaures are in place and whether they have been successful in		Bear Creek, Inland	General need to consider					
497				57-BB	reducing pollutant loads sufficiently to avoid the need for additional managment measures.	3	7 Rogue, Umpqua)	other issues; Ag General					
					Oregon water quality standards and designated uses require the implementation of additional			General fails to meet					
					management measures. Given that in almost all instances, an allocation to all nonpoint sources for			wqs/uses; General need					
					temperature increases is zero, it is even more likely that agricuture is currently contributing to violations	;		to consider other issues;					
498				57-CC	of temperature standards and therefore requires additional managment measures.	3	9	Ag - General					
					EPA and NOAA found that the last of the agricultural plans was put in place by ODA in October 2007.								
					The fact that the plans and rules have been in place for such a long time should suggest that Oregon can								
					point to their widespread success in addressing the conditions on agricultural lands that have caused and	d		General fails to meet					
499				57-DD	contributed to violations of water quality standards. In fact, they cannot.	40-43	1	wqs/uses; Ag General					
			1					-					
							ODA's Water Quality						
							Management Program's						
							guidance documents:						
							"Streamside Vegetation						
							Assessment Tool" and						
							"Proposed Tools for						
							Measuring Progress in						
					ODA's most recent new efforts to address agricultural water quality are inadquate to meet CZARA		Small Watersheds:	General fails to meet					
					management measures and additional management measures that are needed. None of the ODA basin		Streamside Vegetation	wqs/uses; General need					
					rules incorporates additional management measures as needed to meet the zero load allocations		Assessment Compliance	to consider other issues;					
F00				F7 FF		١,	1 Evaluation."						
500			_	57-EE	established in the existing temperature TMDLs for Oregon coastal watersheds.	4	1 Evaluation.	Ag - General					
					Pear Creek cannot be held up as an example of how Oregon has a program to control agricultural								
					Bear Creek cannot be held up as an example of how Oregon has a program to control agricultural			Canada valvatan					
F01					nonpoint source pollution because it is primarily an example of how unique circumstances can pressure	.		General - voluntary					
501			4	57-FF	nonpoint sources into taking significant action. Absent those circumstances, the actions will not occur.	4	р	approaches; Ag General					
								General fails to meet					
								wqs/uses;					
								Toxics/Pesticides; Forestry	1				
					Oregon's management measures for pesticides are not adequate to meet water quality sandards		_[- pesticides; Ag					
502			-	57-GG	including full support of desingated uses in Oregon and additional management measures are required.	4	/	Pesticides					
					Despite the lack of any additional ODA rules beyond the EPA pesticide labels, which have been								
					demonstrated to be inadequate for protection of threatened coho, EPA and NOAA have not made any								
					findings on the adequacy of Oregon's program to protect water quality and designated uses from			Toxics/Pesticides: Salmon -	1				
503	_			57-HH	pesticides applied to agricultural lands.	4		need more protection					
							source: State of						
					The federal agencies praise Oregon's Water Quality Pesticide Management Plan, which purportedly uses	:	Oregon, Pesticide						
					water monitoring data to drive so-called adaptive management actions, but the state does little		Management Plan for	Monitoring					
					monitoring of pesticides with which to make this work and there is no evidence it collects any data in		Water Quality	improvements needed:					
504				57-II	coastal watersheds.	4	9 Protection (May 20-11)	Toxics/Pesticides					
					Oregon ignores many of its standards and data when it develops its 303d lists with the effect that data								
505				57-JJ	are not translated into impaired waters listings with any regularity.	4	9	General water quality					
			=		Oregon's CNPCP fails to identify land uses and critical coastal areas that will require additional			General water quality;					
					management measures to attain and maintain water quality standards because it relies on a flawed		CZARA Program	General need to consider					
506				57-KK	Clean Water Act section 303d listing process to identify impaired streams.	5	0 Guidance	other issues					
300				37 KK	ordan trace. Net section sood noting process to identify impaned streams.		Galdanice	Other issues				l	

	A B	С		D	E	F	G	H I	I	J	Κ	L	М	N
						EPA and NOAA guidance urges states to rely on their 303d list for purposes of CZARA, but the problem				-				
						with doing so in Oregon is that the DEQ has, for many years, failed to meet the requirements set out in		General wate	r quality:					
						federal regulations to "assemble and evaluate all existing and readily available water quality related data		General need t						
507					57-LL	and information to develop the list."	52							
307					0, 11			General wate						
						DEQ does not use its nonpoint source assessments to develop its 303d lists, contrary to EPA listing		General need t						
508					57-MM	guidance and EPA/NOAA CZARA guidance.	52							
300					37 141141	Suidance and Erry North Certific Suidance.	3,	General fails						
						Oregon fails to identify land uses causing or threatening water quality impairments by ignoring a wide		wqs/uses; Ge						
						variety of technical information available to identify land uses that consistently cause or contribute to		E.g., ESA-listed coho Salmon; General						
F00					57-NN	violations of water quality standards in coastal watersheds and harm designated uses.	E 2	and their habitat. consider othe						
509				<u> </u>	37-IVIV	, ,	5:							
F10					F7 00	Oregon does not use TMDLs to identify critical coastal areas as required for approval programs under	_,	General need t						
510					57-00	CZARA.	58	I I						
								General fails						
								wqs/uses; Gei						
						Oregon's TMDL program changes numeric criteria for temperature bypassing section 303c federal		salmon; General						
511					57-PP	approval and producing criteria in excess of safe levels for cold-water species.	59							
								General fails						
						Oregon's TMDL program fails to result in changes to nonpoint source controls sufficient to meet load		wqs/uses; Gener						
512					57-QQ	allocations established in TMDLs and necessary to meet water quality standards.	63	L 40 CFR § 130.2 (i) to consider oth						
						Most Oregon coastal watershed TMDLs establish load allocations for nonpoint sources but their		General fails	to meet					
						associated water quality management plans fail to support an effective coastal nonpoint source		wqs/uses; Gener	ral need					
513					57-RR	pollution control program	62	to consider oth	er issues					
								General fails	to meet					
						Despite nearly all of the TMDLs for temperature in Oregon's coastal watersheds' having established a		wqs/uses; Gener	ral need					
						load allocation of zero heat increase for nonpoint sources, the load allocations have not been used to		to consider other	er issues;					
514					57-SS	determine minimum riparian buffer width, height, and density to achieve the load allocations.	69	Forestry ri	parian					
						Oregon TMDLs fail to evaluate whether CZARA management measures are sufficient to meet load		General fails	to meet					
						allocations for nonpoint sources and fail to establish additional management measures needed to meet		wqs/uses; Gener	ral need					
515					57-TT	load allocations for nonpoint sources.	70							
								"Methodology for						
								Oregon's 2012 Water						
								Quality Report and List						
								of Water Quality General fails	to meet					
						Oregon fails to systematically address violations of water quality standards caused by excess		Limited Waters."Oregon wqs/uses; Gener						
516					57-UU	sedimentation.	7,	DEQ to consider oth						
310				-	37-00	Sedimentation.		General fails						
						The current status of listed aquatic species in Oregon, and Oregon's failure to make a dent in recovery		wqs/uses; Ge						
						efforts for those species, demonstrate that Oregon's water quality protection programs are inadequate		Salmon; General						
-17							0.							
517				-		and not meeting CZARA standards.	8:	consider othe	rissues					
						EPA and NOAA have violated the law by failing to withhold CWA and CZMA grant money from Oregon								
						since 1998. EPA's and NOAA's "conditional approval" of Oregon's CNPCP contravenes CZARA and cannot								
518					57-WW	be maintained.	8:	16 USCA § 1455 b c Genera	ıl					
						EPA and NOAA have violated the law by failing to withhold CWA and CZMA grant money from Oregon								
						since 1998. EPA's and NOAA's "conditional approval" of Oregon's CNPCP contravenes CZARA and cannot	:							
519	NWEA		3/20	0/14	57-WW	be maintained.	8:	16 USCA § 1455 b c						